NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Royal Oak, Michigan | July 15, 1940 | Subscription: Three Dollars Per Year | 10¢

SOVIET-GERMAN BREAK SEEN IN THE OFFING

See Page 3

Democrats Gathering For 1940 Convention

Prediction Fulfilled: France Turns on Britain

Victory Rally Held for Acquitted Plot Defendants

Story on Page 18

RUSSIA AND GERMANY — A MYSTERY ★ EQUALITY MAGAZINE ★ THE MONROE DOCTRINE

Dinner Chat

Collectivism Attracts Victims of Capitalism

IN LAST WEEK'S edition of SOCIAL JUSTICE we quoted a note to the effect that the *Weekly Review*, successor to *G. K.'s Weekly* —and one of the last fearless truth-tellers left to the English people—was in danger of interruption due to war conditions.

Mr. Hilaire Belloc, no stranger to the readers of this National Magazine, has been a regular contributor to the *Review*. In an article entitled *"The 'Z' in Nazi,"* the famous English writer says:

"All our propaganda was directed to rehabilitating the power of Prussia because the authorities had utterly misunderstood the situation. They followed, of course, the lead of the Bank of England, and the Bank of England, working with the Bankers of New York, was occupied in 'floating' the wreck of Prussia. The operation would sometimes promise as much as 10 per cent—or even more, counting frills and margins. The town of Berlin, for instance, promised to furnish this enormous tribute and that kind of thing was going on all over the desperate society to which the defeated Reich had been reduced.

"The money dealers were so unintelligent as to believe that a promise to pay by what is still called 'a Great Power' was a negotiable instrument and equivalent to actual cash. It was not until about three and a half years ago that they began to doubt whether their judgment as usurers had been sound after all . . .

"The German revival could not have succeeded, of course, had it not been supported by the international money power and particularly by the Bank of England. But, so supported, it won.

Social Caste Abolished in Reich

"The new Reich restored conscription, they restored occupation of the Rhine and of the provinces beyond the Rhine. But at the same time they transferred the social body of the Reich into a collectivist system. The power of the rich disappeared. The young men of the wealthiest families had to work side by side with the poorest tramps in the forced labor camps which did so much to break up the last vestiges of class dictinction and simultaneously increased enormously the productive power of the new State. The enthusiasm with which the change was received drew its vigor from two sources which are of perennial strength in human society: the envy felt by the masses for the wealthy, and the prospect of social justice through an enforced equality applicable to all.

"Now why was the modern world in its more industrialized and irreligious regions, thus attracted toward collectivism? It was not because it had any special love for the collectivist ideal, which is inhuman and repulsive to all normal men, but because plutocracy in the special form of industrial capitalism was beginning to prove intolerable and *anything that offered an escape from that tyranny was welcome.*

Willing to Work for Themselves

"The machine worker in the collectivist State is a slave to that State; but he will tell you that he is no worse off than he was before as a slave of capitalism! If you have to be woken by a hooter (factory whistle) in the dark of a Winter morning and go off to work all day under orders at some dull mechanical task, if you are compelled to such an inhuman life, *it is at least better to feel that you are not a slave for the profit of a wealthy minority but for that of the whole society of which you form a part.*

"Therein lies the strength of the Nazi appeal.

"In countries where the bulk of men are free agents through the possession of their homes and through work upon land which they either wholly possess or in which they enjoy full security of tenure, the Socialist remedy for capitalist evils makes no appeal. But wherever society is capitalist and industrialist it makes a strong appeal.

"Our last state will be worse than our first—but, then," Mr. Belloc concludes, "the victims of imprudent change never knew their fate beforehand."

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.

Just living annual wage.

Nationalization of important public resources.

Private ownership of all other property.

Control of private property for public good.

Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a Government-owned Central Bank.

Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.

Cost of living maintained on an even keel.

Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.

Labor's right to organize.

Recall of non-productive bonds.

Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.

Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.

Simplification of Government and lower taxes.

Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.

Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with Government's chief concern for the poor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE, National Weekly. Copyrighted 1940 by the Social Justice Publishing Company; publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed, all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks are required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor; Cora Quinlan, Secretary-Treasurer.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted, either wholly or in part, without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from SOCIAL JUSTICE for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

Vol. 6 July 15, 1940 No. 3

Taxes Get to Pete

HELLO, FOLKS:

Here's Pete, the las' minit man, as I'm called by some 'round th' offuce—bet y' can't guess who. Yep, Mr. Schwartz hadda come down 'n get me by the nape uv th' neck 'n get me at my job. Guess he thought I'd f'rgottin' it alt'gether, but he was wrong. I meant t' get busy 'n let y' know what littul I know that's new this week when I got through with my konstitushunal in my yard, but y' know how an editor is, 'r do ya? Well, take it from one who does know—they're th' nervusust peepul in th' world! Ol' Deadline Daddy, I guess I'll call him. This is jus' between you 'n me, though, folks. Golly, if he fin's it out he'll get after me f'r shure. Maybe he'll even fire me 'n hire Pal back again. Pal didn't tease him like I do, I guess.

* * *

There isn't much t' report this week. As y' know, I've been stayin' pretty much t' home, chasin' the squrruls in my backyard 'n pesterin' Mrs. Coughlin. She says that if Mr. Schwartz doesn't trim me, she will, f'r diggin' up some uv her bushes. Do I have my trubbuls! I was only tryin' t' do a littul explorin' t' see where a funny littul animul went when it skurried down a hole near one uv th' plants. It looked so skared 'n disappeered so fast I couldn't tell what it was, 'n I thought I'd better find out—a body can't be too careful these days, from all I hear 'bout fifth kolummists 'n stuff underminin' things 'n borin' from within, so after him I went—jus' doin' my dooty, I thought. Well, Mrs. Coughlin thought diffrunt, 'n I got a you-know-what from her right where she said I needed it. She said I was pretty dumb when I didn't know a goffer when I saw one. I ask y'—is that gratatood? How was I t' know when I'd never seen one before? T' add insult t' injery, she said I'd never have th' sense that Pal's got—which reely hurt. I felt bad f'r quite a while 'n stayed in my korner.

I guess I made her feel kinda sorry f'r what she'd done, 'cause pretty soon she came out with my dinner 'n koxed me t' come 'n eat. I held out f'r a while, uv course, but th' sight uv those bones with quite a bit uv meat on 'em 'n th' biskuts all fixed up jus' like I like 'em made me weaken finully, 'n I forgave her 'n she forgave me, 'n ev'rything was hunky-dory again in th' Coughlin-Pete axis.

Mrs. Coughlin was tellin' me th' other day 'bout how I'd hafta start helpin' her ekonamize—whatever that is—'cause uv th' new taxes. Dear me, all th' new words 'n frazes I'm havin' t' learn these days. Now it's taxes—when I just got axis through my kranium. Konsumers taxes, says Mrs. Coughlin, 'r now added t' my expense akount. She didn't pay much 'tenshun t' it before, I guess, but lately there's been new ones tacked on t' th' old ones, 'n th' price uv my bones 'n biskuts is gettin' t' be somethin'. I'm gonna be put on a diet t' help balunce th' budjet, she said. Golly, I've heard 'bout peepul "gettin' it in th' neck," 'n "payin' through th' nose" 'n other such funny things, but now they've gotten down t' where y'll hafta pay th' hard way—through th' stummik. Konsumers taxes just don't care what they konsume, do they? Maybe I don't understand yet, 'n maybe they're O.K. since th' extry money goes f'r defense uv our country, but it looks t' me like 's if ev'rything that's good 'n that makes peepul happy gets a bigger price slapped on it, but if I figger right, judgin' from what I've been abul t' pick up here 'n there, the bullets that hurt peepul 'n don't produce ennything but tears 'n sorrow don't hafta pay when they konsume.

Not that I'm komplainin'—I'm glad t' do my bit f'r my country—only th' world seems so krazy. Sometimes I think I'd be better off not knowin' 'bout things like axis 'n taxes 'n airplane manoovers 'n stuff like that. I'd be happier jus' chasin' the squrruls 'n watchin' the cars speed along Woodward Avenoo 'n pesterin' Pal 'n teasin' Mr. Schwartz 'n jus' bein' Pete, th' Pest in gen'ral—but that can't be. I'm th' circulashun manager uv SOCIAL JUSTICE, 'n must take th' 'sponsubiluties that go with th' job. I guess it's th' prosess uv growin' up that I'm goin' through, 'n I'm havin' my growin' pains.

As cirkulashun manager I wanna report my satusfakshun with th' reports f'r las' week. SOCIAL JUSTICE and its entire staff are grateful t' y' folks f'r y'r support.

'Course, we'r never satusfied kompletely, so I'm askin' y' t' keep up th' good work sendin' in th' subskripshuns 'n gettin' new salesmen in y'r neighberhood.

Oh, oh! Time's up, 'n I hafta say goodbye once again.

See y' later, folks.

—PETE

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON

Date_____

Name _____

Address_____ City_____

State_____ Subscription rate $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., Royal Oak, Michigan

Comment

Democratic Convention

THE DEMOCRATIC convention will meet in Chicago—not in the stockyards, but in the Coliseum.

Why this group of men are convening is a mystery to America.

Stalwart Democrats like Jack Garner will not spend carfare to travel to the Windy City.

Smart observers understand that Franklin D. Roosevelt will be nominated for the Presidency, and that he, in turn, will nominate the candidate for the Vice-Presidency.

This spare tire will be Mr. Hull, or Mr. Jackson, or Mr. Milquetoast. It matters little.

In other words, the Democratic convention is in the well known bag.

The delegates will join in appointing committees for this and that purpose.

Among the committees will be a platform committee.

Do you know what a platform is?

No one else does.

It is supposed to be a declaration of principles and policies, objectives and purposes, solemnly contracted for between the political party in question and the voting population in general.

Political platforms — particularly the 1932 Democratic platform—are more honored in the breach than in the observance.

Probably, Mr. Roosevelt will suggest a plank in the 1940 New Deal platform to drive the Communists out of America.

In 1932, he inserted a plank to drive the money changers out of the temple and succeeded in handing it over to a great number of them.

Probably, Mr. Roosevelt will incorporate a plank in his platform to get this country out of war.

In 1932, the New Deal platform said something about getting this country out of depression.

The parallel could be worked up further. But what is the use of doing that?

For the point is that the platform will be published; will be read; and will be believed by millions of gullible persons under the direction of the New Deal employes, their wives and relatives.

In fine, mockery will be made of Democracy.

It would be interesting to know how much influence Lord Lothian and the British Embassy will contribute towards the decision of the forthcoming election.

Judging by the foreign policy which characterized the New Deal for the past seven years, we are certain that a vote for the New Dealers is a vote for Great Britain and Soviet Russia who, by November, 1940, will be drinking out of the same trough.

Aside from all that, put no credence in political platforms.

* * *

Bomb—World's Fair

SOME DANGEROUS crack-pots bombed the British Building at the World's Fair to celebrate the fourth of July.

Many lives were endangered as a result of this outrage.

As a further result Mayor LaGuardia "bombed" the soap-boxers from Union Square and elsewhere.

It is about time that this latter has happened.

Only a short time ago Mayor LaGuardia was accepting hand-outs — political hand-outs — from the Communist Party and the Union Square agitators.

If one recalls the 1936 presidential campaign, the New Deal Party accepted political hand-outs from the disciples of Stalin.

If one remembers the propaganda of the first World War, the British spent close on to $150-million to get us into it. This suggests that we were "bombed" out of our peace and prosperity.

Those who do not like the British are to be condemned, if they resort to bombing, force and mayhem.

If our public officials are solidly American, it is possible for us to eradicate foreigners and foreign influences from our midst through the arm of the law.

* * *

French Navy

MR. CHURCHILL'S speech, punctuated with tears, disclosed to the world why Britain thought it necessary to destroy the French fleet.

One can understand the factuality of what Mr. Churchill said.

War is war. Britain, of course, was necessitated to do anything and everything to save herself from being destroyed by Germany. Hence the destruction of the French fleet.

For many days there had been a lull in the war. Germany was bringing up material and equipment preparatory for the battle of Britain.

But Germany also was waiting for Britain to commit some overt act against France so that French opinion would slide over on the side of the Third Reich.

If Germany has lost the French fleet, she has gained a considerable portion of the French nation as a result of it.

This now makes Europe almost unanimous against the "Allies."

* * *

Germany-Russia

THE GERMAN-RUSSIAN alliance is not enjoying clear sailing.

While Communism and Naziism are supposed to stem from the same source of Marxism, it is apparent, despite all theory, that Hitler and Stalin will not see eye to eye.

Communism is Jewish dominated. Naziism is intensely anti-Jewish.

The recent surrender of Roumania to Nazi domination brings us closer to the breaking point between Moscow and Berlin.

According to the Communist *Daily Worker*, thousands of Roumanian Jews have departed for Russia.

Moreover, this same paper says that scores of Ukrainians and Jewish workers of New York were jubilant and overjoyed at the freedom brought to more than 450,000 Jews when Stalin seized Bessarabia, formerly part of Roumania.

When will the break come? Possibly following August 15th, on which date Hitler is supposed to be through with England.

Then things will begin to happen north of the Black Sea.

Are we coming to the point in history when Europe, under Hitler, will be regimented to fight against Russian Communism?

Dr. Roland Usher, head of the Washington University History Department, inclines to the opinion that Russia and Germany will not break.

His opinion is not shared by King Carol of Roumania and others who are in closer touch with actual affairs on the Baltic front.

And what part will Britain play, if and when the break comes?

What part will the United States play?

Britain will be on the side of the Russian bear.

Recently Sir Stafford Cripps arrived in Moscow, a full-fledged British Ambassador.

He was instructed to make peace with the Kremlin at any price.

The Churchill, Eden, Hore-Belisha group in England damned Chamberlain for having gone to Munich to make peace with Hitler.

Cripps' pilgrimage to Moscow has blown the Chamberlain umbrella sky high. Who knows but Mr. Cripps, representing the Jewish-British group, will sell out the English Gentile group?

The second and more drastic phase of the World War will begin, following August 15th, when Germany will be forced to turn on both Britain and Russia.

* * *

More British Lies

BRITISH propagandists have pulled more lies out of their bag of tricks in order to dupe the American people into giving London further support for the lost British cause.

The lie of the British blockade has been punctured.

The lie of the Near Eastern army's invasion of Germany through the Balkans has collapsed.

The lie of a break-through the Westwall has gone up the smoke of the smouldering ruins of the British Expeditionary Force in France.

The lie of Britain's promised rescue of Poland, Norway, Holland, Belgium and France has been drowned out in the "Heil Hitlers" now being pronounced in these Nazified nations.

So now, London is trying to sell us a new bill of goods: the famine that will spread through Europe next Winter, thus effecting Hitler's collapse.

If there is any famine in Europe it will not be the Germans who go hungry.

By cutting off food from Europe, Britain is only depriving the French, Belgians, Dutch and Scandinavians of nourishment.

The German army, now in possession of most of Europe, will be sure to get the first and the last helpings.

(Continued on Page 4)

Index to Comment

The Democratic Convention; Bomb—World's Fair; French Navy; Germany-Russia; More British Lies . . . . . Page 3

Gibraltar; Most Important; Nobles First; Dream Come True . . . . . Page 4

The *Daily Worker*; Walter Winchell; Censored . . . . . Page 5

Fifth Column; Excess Profits Tax; Boake Carter: "Lenders — Seekers"; Co-operation . . . . . Page 6

"The Southern Cross"; Et tu Brute!; Good Means—Bad End; The "*Ave Maria*" . Page 7

Comment

(Continued from Page 3)

As for the expected forthcoming breakdown of the Russian-German alliance, it can be said that this co-operation will end only when Adolf Hitler so desires.

An army that beat France in less than a month need have no fear of a huge, undisciplined Russian rabble, the majority of which is only awaiting the opportunity to overthrow its Bolshevik terrorists.

Only a British propagandist could picture the Reds, who needed three months to defeat a small unarmed nation like Finland, invading the greatest military power on earth.

Ever since the beginning of the war British propaganda lies have rebounded in their concocters' faces.

Already blockaded by sea and air, Britain will soon be blockaded by tanks. It is rank stupidity for a land which depends more on imports for its food than any other nation in the world to talk of famine in other countries.

In regard to a cooling off between Russia and Germany—as Hitler grows stronger his junior partner, Stalin, will be demoted progressively to shareholder, office manager and finally to errand boy.

Eventually, that errand boy will have a duty to perform in British India. In the meantime, if by any chance Stalin should grow unruly, he will simply be fired.

* * *

Gibraltar

THE BANK OF ENGLAND and its satellite, the British Empire, may have its headquarters in Threadneedle Street, London, but it's founded on a rock named Gibraltar.

Let Gibraltar fall and the stream of gold from the East which furnishes the life-blood for Britain's financial system will be cut off. A successful slash at The Rock would cut the body of the British Empire in two.

Krupp guns are already pointing at Gibraltar from Spanish Algeciras just across the bay.

German, Italian and Spanish warplanes are poised for an all-out bomb attack.

Spanish troops are already in position to follow up the bombardment with occupation.

The attack, which may be expected to begin any day now, is awaiting the go-ahead signal from Berlin.

Lest any deluded Americans weep tears for "poor Britain" when the onslaught against Gibraltar finally begins, we recall a little history.

Gibraltar first entered world history by way of Greek and Roman mythology, wherein it was described as one of the Pillars of Hercules.

Greek and Roman geographers charted it and Phoenician traders settled around its rocky base.

In 711, it was captured by Arabs under Tariq ibn Zeid, who turned it into a fort.

It remained in the hands of Islam until 1309, when it was taken by Alonzo de Guzman and a Castillian army.

Then, for more than a hundred years, Moors and Christians struggled for its possession. In 1462, however, Spanish domination of Gibraltar was secured and the flag of Spain and the Cross of Christ flew from its summit for three centuries.

In 1704, English and Dutch privateers stormed The Rock and used it as a naval base for wars against France.

Describing the British siege, G. T. Garratt writes in his book, *"Gibraltar and the Mediterranean"*:

"Into this little town was suddenly thrown some 1,800 soldiers and sailors—contemptuous of Spaniards, hating Popery, extremely addicted to drink . . .

"Every church in Gibraltar was sacked save one . . . Many females experienced insults and outrages . . . In the deplorable state of things the unfortunate citizens embraced a resolution of which history offers few examples—they determined on quitting forever the place of their birth rather than submit to foreign domination."

John Drinkwater in his *"History of Siege of Gibraltar"* gives further information about the British attack:

"A court-martial consequently sat with mock ceremony, and her ladyship (image of the Virgin Mary discovered in the ruins of a church) was found guilty of drunkenness, debauchery and other crimes . . ."

In 1711, Gibraltar was "legally" handed over to the British in the *Treaty of Utrecht*, one clause of which states: "Her Brittanic Majesty does consent and agree that no leave shall be given under any pretense whatever either to Jews or Moors to reside . . . in the said town of Gibraltar."

This clause, however, was tactfully ignored and not only have Jews continued to live in Gibraltar but have risen to high positions in the municipal government.

In the eighteenth century, Gibraltar was several times besieged by Spanish and French troops without success, one siege lasting as long as three years and seven months.

Today, after more than two centuries of "beneficent British rule," Gibraltar is about to return to Spain.

* * *

Most Important

IT IS TRUE that the European war engages our attention.

It is true that millions of Americans believe that Communism and Naziism constitute our greatest menace.

As important as these affairs may be, the most important thing for America to do is to clean out the causes which beget our fears.

Our country is the way that it is today because of the politicians who have mis-handled its business, its laws and its foreign relations.

Perhaps, we deserve the kind of politicians and leaders we have had.

Maybe, we were too lax, too indifferent, refusing to interest ourselves in the selection of men upon whose judgment the safety of our nation, our homes and our loved ones depends.

Whenever a good man tried to run for a political office, it was necessary for him to roll up his trousers and wallow in the mire with the other candidates.

Following election day he discovered that he was lied about; that his business activities had been exaggerated and mis-interpreted; that his family connections were open to suspicion.

The most important thing that Americans have to do today is to harness the political parties who have been running away with our wealth, our souls and our lives.

Must we continue being pawns with which Democrat and Republican leaders can play for the benefit of some party?

Will the New Dealers, for example, have courage to nominate a candidate for the Presidency who is capable, honest, experienced, American, non-British, non-German, non-Jew, non-Russian?

Is there any hope left for us to elect a President who will keep every American boy from fighting a foreign war?

The most important thing we have to do is to clean out the politicians and the foreign-minded Americans from our midst.

And this must be done by November 4th—or else it will be too late to talk about the destiny of America—its *Constitution*, its democracy, its republicanism, its freedom of conscience—following the advent of a dictator who holds the purse strings in one hand and the votes of rubber-stamp Congressmen in the other.

* * *

Nobles First

THE LANDED British lords and financial barons are as guilty as any other individuals for the war in Europe. The thrifty, honest English workingman is simply a victim of the machinations of the Bank of Englanders and other imperialists.

Yet the English laboring classes and their families and children stand to lose the most — their lives — in the coming invasion of England, unless a peace is made. The monocled rich are already fingering the airplane and boat tickets in their pockets.

Read the passenger list of the first boatload of child refugees which arrived in Canada last week. You will find the following names:

The Earl of March, age 11; Lady May Campbell with her three daughters; George St. Lawrence Ponsonby, 5-year-old son of the Earl of Bessborough; a son of Viscount Rothermere.

Where were the offspring of John Baker, tinsmith, or William Howard, coal miner, or Henry Jones, farmer? They were back in England, ready-made targets for air bombs.

The innocent children of the war mongers are sent to Canada for safety. The innocent children of the innocent workingmen are left to die in England.

Americans must act quickly to save the English children of the lower classes. The rotten British ruling class is only interested in saving itself.

British Lords fill up the available boats with their own heirs. The remaining ships are set aside for the transportation overseas of German and Italian prisoners and bars of gold!

* * *

Dream Come True

PARTICULARLY since he became President, Mr. Roosevelt has been an ardent supporter of the League of Nations.

One recollects his attempts to align the United States with that organization through the back door of the World Court.

One suspects his relations with the League through the agency of Mr. Bullitt.

At last, word appears in the public press that the British-controlled, internationalist League is gasping its last breath on the sidewalks of Geneva.

Only "two or three" employes will be left on its payroll.

Nevertheless, Mr. Roosevelt's dream comes true. He has opened wide the arms of Columbia to receive the refugee rump governments on this continent. If he could not get into the League, he succeeded in getting the League into New York.

We propose that the Chanin Building,

(Continued on Page 5)

Comment

(Continued from Page 4)

for example, be set aside to house these sour-puss radicals.

We propose that the name of this building be changed to the Tower of Babel.

We propose that either the National or Columbia Radio Chains put at the disposal of these rumpsters broadcasting facilities to enable them to exercise remote control over the populations abroad.

We propose that all international minded Americans who rejoice in the presence of these refugees should be awarded some title of distinction, something similar to the Knight of the Bath, the Knight of the Garter, or the Knight of the "Holey" Sock.

And, finally, we propose that Mr. Morgenthau should employ the services of Informer Healy to keep him advised of the activities of the courageous run-aways to whom, likely, the Secretary of the Treasury will award the billions of dollars of European assets held in this country.

* * *

The Daily Worker

WITHOUT overburdening the readers with Christian Frontism, we reprint an editorial from the Communist-Jewish *Daily Worker*.

This editorial expresses a viewpoint on the Christian Front trial, now come to a conclusion.

Read it and laugh. It is entitled *"Anti-Semitism Gets A Green Light Signal."*

"The acquittal of the so-called Christian Front defendants a few days ago cannot be lightly dismissed by an American who claims to be interested in keeping America free of anti-Semitism.

"That ardent copyist of Hitler's propaganda, Father Coughlin, has already given the signal in his SOCIAL JUSTICE in the form of a prophecy. He predicts that the acquittal will result in a *'wave of anti-Semitism.'* He ought to know. He will be one of its organizers.

"And—here is the important new development—*the Government officials at Washington have given the go-ahead signal to Coughlin for this 'wave of anti-Semitism.'*

"Can any honest person dare to ignore the plain fact it was Attorney-General Robert H. Jackson's lieutenant who 'prosecuted' the anti-Semites in such a flabby manner that they were almost assured of an acquittal.

"Can any person overlook the sinister significance of the *praise* which Coughlin's own magazine gave to the U. S. officials who were supposed to be prosecuting these un-American hooligans? Did not SOCIAL JUSTICE wink to its readers that *'neither the Government of the United States nor the F.B.I. of the Department of Justice had any enthusiasm for the prosecution'?* (July 1.)

"How is it possible for any person to maintain any illusions in the face of these facts?

"The fact is that the Coughlin anti-Semites and the officials of the United States Government have been rapidly approaching a practical *'understanding'* with each other. This sinister alliance reaches straight up to the highest Washington circles. 'Anti-Communist' slander is the bond which binds Coughlin and the Government agencies together.

"The Government must hear the firm demand of decent Americans that the remaining defendants be tried immediately, and that the pro-fascist fountain-head of them all—Coughlin himself—be brought to the dock before the American people.

"Here is the crucial challenge—

"Why does not the Government investigate the anti-Semitic activities of Coughlin?"

* * *

Walter Winchell

KEY-HOLE-ISM reached new depths in Walter Winchell's comments on the Christian Front trial.

Writing in the New York *Daily Mirror*, here is what Winchell said following the termination of the persecution which Winchelletts were responsible for stirring up against the so-called Christian Front:

" 'John Cassidy, pinched with sixteen other alleged Benedict Arnolds, led those WMCA picket mobs for months.'

" 'That Cassidy guy, now in the Federal lockup (for allegedly plotting against the Government) is a member of the Brooklyn "Behind the 8-Ball Club" . . . and how!'

" 'That Fronter tagged Bishop campaigned for members in the New York Police Department to join the Silver Shirts a year ago, and one of his letters is now in J. E. Hoover's files. The column tipped the Mayor at the time!'

" *'The preliminary sleuthing on the Un-Christian Fronters was paid for by citizens, who turned over the evidence to the FBI.'*

" 'Disclosure by the G-men last week that seventeen alleged Benedict Arnolds had plotted to overthrow the Government appeared to take the public by surprise . . . But not this newsboy, who exactly one year ago put the advance information on the desks of every United States Senator and Congressman . . . It is all in a little, inexpensive book, *"Secret Armies"* . . . Some editorial writers sneered at the achievement of the G-men . . . But if these Rip Van Winkles who call themselves editorial writers would read *"Secret Armies,"* they would discover how badly they have been scooped'."

Censored

DID YOU SEE the flicker, *"The Fighting 69th"* which portrayed, among other things, the magnificent Father Duffy?

If you didn't, you missed something.

Word now comes to us that this movie will be censored.

It will be hanged and quartered, scissored and mutilated.

Guess what is to be deleted!

No lascivious scenes, because there are none in the play.

No seditious scenes, because there are none in the play.

The parts to be taken out are *those parts which manifest a Catholic atmosphere!*

"The Lord's Prayer" suffered an entire black-out.

The Benediction Rites, confession, administering of Extreme Unction—all these were scissored.

It is a wonder they left Father Duffy's name in the film.

And by whom were all these deletions made?

Not by Americans.

Not by Communists.

Not by Nazis.

But by the British Board of Censors.

The English people are never given a break by the Britishers who dominate them.

Winchellism journalistically lynched the young men before they were brought to trial.

Winchell holds the same place in the state of journalism which the mobsters hold in those States where accused men are put to death without benefit of jury or judge.

The great question now is, what are the American people going to do about Winchell and Winchellism?

Don't be silly, merely writing to the newspapers who print his drivel, to ask the papers to discontinue his column.

Winchell is not afraid of that kind of an attack.

The Catholic Review, official organ of the Archdiocese of Baltimore and Washington, prints this editorial, *"Walter Winchell's Way"*:

"When young men were arrested last January in Brooklyn on the charge of conspiracy against the Government *The Review* was urged by some to denounce them.

"The Review refused. It follows the policy of believing men innocent until proof of their guilt is given.

"The Review suspected the case had been greatly exaggerated, that much of the stir about it had been due to the extraordinary activities of Walter Winchell, the columnist, who had exultantly proclaimed his part in the initiation of the investigation of the alleged conspiracy against the Government. Mr. Winchell branded those arrested as 'alleged Benedict Arnolds.' He joyfully and optimistically indicated that he expected the nefarious 'conspirators' to be brought to bay.

"The adjective 'alleged,' tacked on to 'Benedict Arnolds' safeguarded Winchell from slander suits.

"It is too much to say that if the trial of the alleged conspirators had been held in Baltimore and if Mr. Winchell had written in Baltimore the things he wrote in New York, he would have found that judges in Baltimore have their own convictions as to what constitutes contempt of court. Any of the 850,000 citizens of Baltimore above the age of 15 years can give Mr. Winchell some information on that point.

"The Review decided not to publish anything about the trial of the conspirators until after the jury had returned its verdict. It determined many weeks ago that at the end of the trial it would ask Mr. Patrick F. Scanlan, one of the most brilliant journalists of the country and managing editor of the nationally-known *Brooklyn Tablet*, to write his observations on the trial. Mr. Scanlan has followed the trial closely from the beginning.

"Thus it was that on Tuesday we of *The Review* telephoned to Mr. Scanlan asking him to write his observations. He was asked to say what he thought.

"Mr. Scanlan made the generous suggestion that he send to *The Review* all that he would write for *The Brooklyn Tablet* this week in respect to the trial. *The Review* could then use what it wished. Thus it is that *The Review* publishes simultaneously with *The Tablet* articles which appear in this issue.

"To return to Mr. Winchell. We sadly concede that we do not believe in all the history of American journalism has anyone written such an attack as Mr. Winchell made on one of the conspirators, Mr. Ernecke—after Mr. Ernecke was dead—a suicide.

"Mr. Ernecke was dead, we repeat, when Mr. Winchell attacked his memory. Dead men tell no tales.

"We quote from Mr. Winchell's remarks on the dead Ernecke in the New York *Daily Mirror* of April 15:

(Continued on Page 6)

Comment

(Continued from Page 5)

" 'Ernecke, the accused Government conspirator who hanged himself, did it (they say), because he feared the cross-examination more than anything else; a murderer at heart, he killed the right guy.'

"Only God and oneself knows what is in one's heart. Nobody else knows. Walter Winchell, however, would intrude himself.

"Notice the 'they say.' That is the way persons who cannot prove things write. 'They say' testimony is barred from every reputable court in the world . . .

" 'They say' brings into one's mind the picture of persons whispering with their hands before their mouths.

" 'They say' is not an honorable, direct, above-board accusation.

"But Mr. Winchell does not stop there. He knows men who are murderers at heart —even before they have even been cross-examined on the witness stand, even before they are found guilty.

"Mr. Ernecke had lost his job, his people were poor, he was distraught. He hanged himself.

"Mr. Winchell says: 'He killed the right guy.'

"Mr. Scanlan, whom Mr. Winchell does not like, says of Ernecke: 'Please pray for him'."

* * *

Fifth Column

IT IS INTERESTING to note the similar methods employed by Prime Minister Winston Churchill and President Franklin Delano Roosevelt in coping with the "Fifth Columns" in their respective countries.

Scotland Yard has already arrested the directors of Sir Oswald Mosley's British Union. Sir Oswald himself has been apprehended, along with his wife.

Captain Archibald Ramsay, a member of parliament, has been thrown in prison because of suspected Fascist sympathies.

William Gallagher, however, sits unmolested in the House of Commons. He is Britain's only Communist M.P.

Other British Communist leaders roam freely through the country. Red publications appear daily on British newsstands.

In the United States, Fritz Kuhn, German-American Bund leader, rests securely in Dannemora prison. His chief lieutenant, James Wheeler-Hill, is a boarder in another institution with barred windows.

Earl Browder, on the other hand, is traveling jauntily through the several states campaigning for President on the official Communist ticket. To be sure, he was convicted of passport forgery last January, and last week his conviction was upheld by the United States Circuit Court of Appeals.

Ben Gold, notorious Red union leader, has been sentenced to one year in prison for violation of the *Sherman Anti-Trust Act* and is now on trial again for tampering with Government witnesses.

These slight technicalities, however, do not prevent him from devoting an eight-hour day to sabotaging American defense.

William Weiner, Irving Potash and other convicted Communist chieftains, have yet to be sent to jail, although juries have found them guilty of various crimes months ago.

Such is the Washington and London way of dealing with the Fifth Column.

Anti-Semitic Nazis go to prison. Anti-Christian hirelings of Moscow are allowed to circulate in perfect freedom.

* * *

Excess Profit Tax

PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT recommended, in a message to Congress, the passage of legislation that will affect profits made by individuals and corporations.

The principle behind this excess profit tax is sound.

Said the President: "We are engaged in a great national effort to build up national defenses to meet any and every potential attack.

"We are asking even our humblest citizens to contribute their mite.

"It is our duty to see that the burden is equitably distributed according to ability to pay, so that a few do not gain from the sacrifices of the many."

For years SOCIAL JUSTICE has been advocating that principle in relation to taxation — namely, the principle of ability to pay.

Possibly, this will mean that stockholders in General Motors, United States Steel and similar corporations must be satisfied, in the future, with a 5 per cent profit.

Possibly, large merchandising concerns like Sears Roebuck, Montgomery Ward, Macy's and Company must be satisfied, in the future, with a 5 per cent profit.

Possibly, investors and speculators in the stock market and elsewhere must be satisfied, in the future, with a 5 per cent profit.

The days marking the rise of tremendous mushroom fortunes are passing.

At least, we are optimistic enough to believe that a beginning will have been made when Congress passes the excess profit tax.

Time will be required—possibly, two to eight years — before adequate legislation will find its way into the regulation of our American lives.

The crime of it all is that non-productive debts, government squandering, international intrigue—all related with the international control of money — have made these taxes necessary in the first instance, and will increase the quantity of debts in the second instance.

In ancient days the Jews celebrated what was known as a Jubilee Year in which all debts were canceled and in which confiscated property returned to the hands of the original owner. That was wise legislation given to the Jewish people through the inspiration of Almighty God.

What America needs, in some respects, is a Jubilee Year which will aim at harnessing debts, thereby preventing them from destroying this nation.

* * *

'Lenders—Seekers'

IN ITS JULY 6th issue, *The Saturday Evening Post* prints an article by Mr. Harry Scherman on the subject of *"The Real Danger in Our Gold."*

It is worthwhile reading and digesting.

We pause to observe that when individuals lend money to the Government, they have a right to expect that it be returned.

When individuals, controlling gold, lend 10 times more money to the Government than the actual value of the gold they possess, they have no right to expect that the bulk of their loans will be returned.

The world is fast approaching the point when the boyhood slogan of "lenders seekers" will be revived.

This is so because governments have been borrowing from individuals, money which the individuals did not have in the first place but which they created by a stroke of the pen.

When the Government creates its own money through the virtue of a printing press, as opposed to the fountain pen of the gold bankers, there is no lender.

What is going to happen to America in the next few months?

From a financial viewpoint, it is possible that Mr. Roosevelt will print $3-billion by virtue of the powers ceded to him by Congress.

This $3-billion will be put into circulation, should he choose to use this power.

The moment such a thing happens, it is the beginning of the end of King Midas and his gold hoard.

The general public will understand that we can get along without gold for our internal transactions.

We have been getting along without it for several years.

Germany got along without it for several years.

The world got along without it for many centuries.

And the world is beginning to understand that they who control gold, using it to lend money to governments ten times in excess of the value of gold, can be eliminated from our economic philosophy.

* * *

Boake Carter

IN A SYNDICATED article Boake Carter gave us some interesting information.

It is information related to the two hybrid Republicans, Colonels Stimson and Knox, whom Mr. Roosevelt inducted into his Cabinet.

Here is the news:

"Sir William Wiseman, chief of British intelligence and 'under-cover' man during the first World War, is a partner of Kuhn, Loeb Company, New York banking house with labyrinthian connections in London, France and other international finance quarters.

"Sir William returned to the United States several months ago.

"Henry L. Stimson is counsel to Kuhn, Loeb Company. Stimson's law firm also is known to have extensive British-French business attachments.

"Henry Stimson is now Secretary of War in the Cabinet of President Roosevelt, who heads the war party of the Nation.

"Recently a considerable block of stock was opened to public sale by the *Chicago Daily News*. Among the largest buyers was Kuhn, Loeb Company.

"Colonel Frank Knox, *News* publisher, is now Secretary of the Navy in the same Cabinet."

'Nuff ced!

* * *

Co-operation

HOLY ROSARY Parish in Brooklyn is one of the many little bits of heaven flourishing under the jurisdiction of Bishop Molloy.

Recently this parish organized a co-operative movement which, in plain language, may be described as mass purchasing on the part of a group of certain goods to be distributed to members of the group without profit.

Father Thomas Conerty laid his cards face up on the table before this co-operative movement got under way in his poor but flourishing parish.

He reminded those desirous of establish-

(Continued on Page 7)

Comment

(Continued from Page 6)

ing the co-operative that the parish was half-colored and said: "What are you going to do about that?"

The people did about it exactly as they should have done. Whites and colored enjoy the same economic privileges in the co-operative movement.

* * *

'The Southern Cross'

THE TITLE of this comment is the name of a Catholic newspaper published in the diocese of San Diego.

Here is what its editors have to say about the Christian Front trial:

"Some months ago the front pages of the papers of the country were filled with the news of a plot to overthrow the Government of the United States. The plotters were tried and condemned in the columns of the papers and everything that was cruel and hard was said against them.

"Of the 17 original plotters, one committed suicide, two were released because of lack of evidence, and of the 14 who stood trial nine were acquitted during the past week and a mistrial was declared for the other five. The Federal Bureau of Investigation that made the arrests and was in charge of collecting the evidence failed to make the charges hold.

"Speaking only of those who were acquitted it can be said that they were made to endure great hardships and put through the indignity of a trial for treason on charges that were very flimsy from the beginning.

"Every nation must guard itself against its enemies from within, but a free nation should guard more particularly its heritage of liberty by not being stampeded into a hysterical trial where the freedom of even one of its citizens is unjustly jeopardized. Oppression must never be allowed to inject itself into our free country no matter how great the howl of interested parties to curb the lawful freedom of those who may be honestly opposed to them."

* * *

Et Tu, Brute!

AN IRISHMAN was beating up another Irishman. The unhappy Celt underneath was shouting: "I'm licked! I've got enough! Let me up!"

Oblivious to his victim's cries, the Irishman on top continued the barrage. A policeman rushed up, grabbed the assailant, pulled him off.

"Can't you hear the man saying he's had enough?" asked the law.

Shaking his finger towards the prostrate form on the ground the attacker replied: "Officer, you don't know that——. He's the worst liar in this community!"

So it is with nations these gory years. They are never defeated.

France's army, reputedly the best in Europe, is rolled back by the Germans. The much-touted Maginot Line folds up like cellophane.

The government goes on a tour of Southern France. Premiers come and go. M. Reynaud is here today and gone tomorrow. Marshal Petain steps in. He admits France's defeat on the battlefields. He laments a woeful lack of soldiers, arms and airplanes. He asks for peace. He makes peace

Meanwhile, a French rump government is set up in London. It declares the armistice "exists only on paper;" that it does not represent the spirit of the French people; that the war between France and Germany will go on.

Neither nations nor individuals are licked any more. They are "stabbed in the back!"

Germany first used this alibi in the World War when she attributed her defeat to a radical revolution within the Reich.

Norway was "stabbed in the back" by Quisling and his "fifth column." Belgium was "stabbed in the back" by King Leopold and his "fifth column."

Now comes France, who, according to the Reynauds and DeGaulles, has been stilettoed by France's "fifth column," headed by Marshal Petain.

Has there ever in history been anything as elusively defiant of accurate description as the "fifth column"? It's like the Irishman's flea—"When you have it, you haven't it."

Verily, "back stabbing" covereth a multitude of deficiencies. The expression was used even by President Roosevelt at Charlottesville in a welter of disappointment at the failure of his foreign policy towards Italy.

Although the President on that occasion accused Mussolini of stabbing France in the back, a hearer might be excused for concluding that a few sparks from the dagger had nestled in the presidential whiskers.

Of course, the next group to receive the *coup de grace* in the nether vertebrae will be the English. As we write, the bare bodkin is perilously poised.

England has been gathering a very fair assortment of "back stabbers." London has garnered a motley assembly of malcontents from all sections of Europe; among them, we may be sure, some of the most expert wielders of posterior scalpels in the world today.

Of course, Albion has her own "back stabbers."

In about thirty days the English rear should be thoroughly sliced.

Then there will be a lull. The British government will be in Ottawa. Eddie and Wally will scale the English throne in a new Anglo-German Fascist state. German military headquarters will be in Paris.

God will be in his Heaven—but all will not be right with the world!

* * *

Good Means—Bad End

THE GERMAN people have been regimented and disciplined for the cause of Naziism.

Naziism banished pornographic literature, obscene theatricals and many social crimes in a short period of seven years.

And Naziism established a system of finance which, if understood by Americans, would perhaps be applauded.

More than that, Hitler succeeded in ousting subversive societies, in liquidating wrangling politicians, and in cleaning up his oppressed country.

We have been taught that it is wrong to applaud the theory that the end justifies the means.

We are now confronted with another theory that the means justifies the end.

Good means have a bad end, in this case.

And the bad end is the curtailment of religious faith and civil rights.

Had we Christians enforced the discipline and produced the good accomplished by the Nazis for a good end, we would not be weeping at the wailing well, fearful less totalitarianism is about to engulf America.

Faith without good works is a false theory that has poisoned our wells.

We were too satisfied to hold fast to the faith without practicing it.

In many quarters persons are saying that the cause of our unpreparedness, our softness and our distress is "Christianity."

The truth is that Christianity is no more the cause of the evils which surround us than are the *Ten Commandments.*

Christianity has been more honored in its breach than in its observance.

It is a pity that Christian leaders and followers will not adopt the good means which characterized the totalitarians who are striving for a bad end.

* * *

The 'Ave Maria'

ONE OF the finest weekly Catholic magazines printed in America is *The Ave Maria,* published by the Holy Cross Fathers of Notre Dame University.

SOCIAL JUSTICE approves most highly of this publication and suggests that it find its way into every home.

Herewith we print an editorial from its June 22nd issue:

"The order of dispensing charity, we learn from theology, is to contribute first of all to our immediate family and then to those indigent who are nearest of kin. Likewise, we in America have a greater obligation of taking care of our own needy than we have of providing for the poor in foreign countries.

"And, yet, every time we turn on a radio these days we hear someone soliciting funds for those living abroad while he is ignoring the misery and poverty at his own doorstep.

"You may judge to what extremes this has gone when you learn that Dr. Bessie Burchette was denied the use of the radio because she intended to say: 'The sympathies of decent people are aroused, of course, by the plight of refugee children, But we have plenty of needy American children. Any funds we have should be used for them first. It is absurd to argue that these children create employment. The presence of another child in the house will make more work but it does not add to the supply of bread. How can anyone possibly justify this, that, when the country is on the verge of bankruptcy, when the people are staggering under their burden of debt and taxes, when one business after another is being pushed to the wall, money should be sent to refugees abroad. Who can say our unemployed are not suffering as much as Europe's refugees? They are being driven from their homes; they are being deprived of their property; they are just as hopeless and just as despairing of the future as are any alien refugees.'

"We are not, of course, against helping the destitute of Europe. But, in view of all we have done and are now doing, to save people in stricken lands, we cannot understand why the home radio should be withheld for a plea in favor of home sufferers."

Apropos to Dr. Bessie Burchette, we are prone to ask the question:

"Who controls the radio of America?"

Censorship has descended to such a low level in these days that it has abandoned the rule of law and substituted for it the rule of man.

Regulations have been cast overboard and private judgment substituted therefor.

Jack Bennys, Eddie Cantors, and a host of Kaltenborns, may entertain (?) and propagandize us as much as they please.

But let him be wary who advocates such sensible thoughts as were intended to be expressed by Dr. Burchette.

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

A Prediction Fulfilled

SEVERAL WEEKS ago this magazine predicted that France would some day share the fate of other victims of Britain's broken promises.

Now, renouncing an entente of nearly half a century, the government of France has broken off relations with Britain, in anger at the "treachery" of a British navy attack upon defenseless French warships.

"The ties of comradeship and excessive fidelity are completely broken now," the French foreign ministry declare.

"In the face of this aggression, so much more odious since it was committed by our ally of yesterday," Premier Petain said he had ordered French ships, anchored in the harbor of Alexandria beside the British fleet, "to raise anchor and proceed to sea, if necessary by force."

A French admiralty statement added:

"The French navy did not, in any case, deserve to be *stabbed in the back on order of Mr. Churchill,* who, last winter, implored the French admiralty to lend its principal forces to protect Canadian ships because the British admiralty forces had no longer the necessary means."

Thus, Great Britain's ally of fifty years, becomes overnight her "enemy."

How soon will England itself follow the fate of Britain's other victim?

The Monroe Doctrine

THE *Monroe Doctrine* as enunciated by President Monroe—together with some historical notes on the circumstances under which it was promulgated — appears in another column of this edition.

The *Doctrine* is a statement of American foreign policy. It does not have the status of international law, and other countries are not obliged to respect it; but it has stood for many years as the declaration of where America stands.

According to the *Monroe Doctrine,* the United States Government announces that it will regard with suspicion territorial aggressions in this hemisphere by foreign powers; but it also asserts that the United States has no political concern with Europe.

It was this two-way character of the *Monroe Doctrine* that Germany called attention to in the recent exchange of notes. Hitler explained that Germany had no territorial interests in the Western Hemisphere and sought none, but pointed out the uncomfortable truth that Great Britain and France do.

The week-end pronouncement of Mr. Roosevelt, favoring continental "Monroe Doctrines," would seem to be a re-statement of the old SOCIAL JUSTICE slogan: "America for Americans"—"Europe for the Europeans"—"Asia for the Asiatics."

The difficulties of effecting a continental Monroe Doctrine for Europe will be obvious. If nations could co-operate in the preparation of such a policy, they could avoid wars. Patently, they cannot.

Great Britain, which was yesterday the ally of France, is now her foe. To prevent supplies held by the French from falling into the hands of opponents, Britain is compelled to treat French possessions as little less than enemy territory.

Both Great Britain and France have heavy holdings in America's sphere of influence. Any complications over the *Monroe Doctrine,* therefore, are much more likely to involve Britain and her former ally, France, than Germany, which is not over here at all.

In that case, what do we do? Junk the *Monroe Doctrine* for a "New Deal doctrine" of co-operation with Great Britain, even against France?

Well, hardly!

For National Solidarity

IN THE emergencies of peace, no less than in wartime, we need national unity.

The Cross, the Flag, the *Constitution,* these things should be for us the symbols of our national solidarity: for God and Country!

Preoccupation with the affairs of Europe—where nations are always at war—has threatened America's solidarity. We are divided by our sympathies, by our ideologies, by our fears and by propaganda-induced hysteria.

We are distracted by interests foreign to America. We are too concerned about internationalism, masking as "democracy," and too indifferent to the perils which threaten sound nationalism.

Many divergent peoples, races and religions, living together in harmony as friendly neighbors, that is—or *was*—America. But, America, too, is something more than mere toleration of those who do not agree with us about important things: it calls for a uniting of all our efforts for the common cause.

It is this common cause of American nationalism that is being lost sight of.

SOCIAL JUSTICE has been attacking those evil influences that threaten to divide us.

For God and Country must continue to be our heart-single aim.

If we are most critical of an active pro-British propaganda, which seeks to "use" our Nation in the schemes of imperialist ambition; we are not tolerant of a pro-German propaganda, which would sway us to the ideologies of any naturalistic Naziism.

But the greatest threat to our democracy, it seems to us, is neither from any attack from Hitler nor a war alliance with imperial Britain—so much as it is from lassitude and indifference of American patriots and subversion by the busy enemies of democracy right here at home.

The Fourth of July has been made a patriotic holiday on which picnics, doubleheader ball games and extra theater matinees are the order of the day. Together with the elimination of firecrackers as a safety precaution there has also gone from our oratory the significance of Independence Day as commemorating our liberation from British oppression.

Memorial Day is memorable chiefly for the automobile races at the Indianapolis speedway. We need not revive the geographical hatred of the '60s to pay tribute to those national heroes, in Blue or Gray, who died in the test of whether this land should be one Nation or two.

This laughing off of the oldtime patriotism, and the substitution of a sophisticated internationalism—which calls itself "democracy"—is, we repeat, a greater peril to our national life than the attacks of a Hitler or the propaganda of Lord Lothian.

Jewish Youth Group

SOCIAL JUSTICE has been asked to explain the disbanding of the Youth Division of the American Jewish Congress, formerly affiliated with the American Youth Congress.

As Will Rogers used to say, "All we know is what we read in the papers." But from this we gather that the youth group voted against the United States giving any support to warring Europeans, and that the parent body ousted the youth group *for actions "opposed to the policies of the organization."*

In considerable newspaper space the action had been hailed as Jewish opposition to Communism.

Said the *New York Journal:*

"Charging that the American Youth Congress is 'dominated by elements . . . opposed to the democratic way of life,' the American Jewish Congress has disbanded its own Youth Division because it refused to break away from the Red-controlled A.Y.C. . . .

"Dissolution of the Youth Division of the American Jewish Congress was ordered by the governing council . . .

" 'Since the outbreak of the war,' the American Jewish Congress declared, 'the Congress has become convinced that certain leaders of the Youth Division were receiving and obeying instructions received from organizations other than the American Jewish Congress.

" 'This resulted in the unwarranted use of the name of the American Jewish Congress in support of organizations and policies to which it was opposed.' . . ."

The *New York Journal* continues:

"Completely contradicting the program of the parent organization, the Youth Division disclosed that it had adopted a resolution opposing the defense program of the United States Government, including aid to the Allies, on the ground that:

" 'A—Democracy had no issue at stake in the conflict.

" 'B—That Great Britain and France, having created Hitler, should be permitted to stew in their own juice'."

No wonder the American Jewish Congress disowned the outspoken Jewish Youth group!

Conversely, we may suppose that the American Jewish Congress is very much for all aid to the Allies.

The great newspaper fanfare about Jewish opposition to Communism has really very little to do with this Youth affair.

Equality Magazine

"There ain't no justice!"

THAT IS the slogan which *Equality* magazine should adopt for its July, 1940, issue.

The July issue suggests that the editors have been on a "slimming" party. Their 8 page junior size magazine ejects sympathy for the publishers, the editors and the readers. The readers, particularly, are the objects of sympathy.

"Multum in parvo"—that is an old Latinism which means that precious articles are wrapped in small packages.

No so with *Equality*.

It's present size just fits the importance and the value of the literary package which it sells for a nickel to combat Coughlinism, Ku Klux Klanism, war propagandists, Nazi-ism but not a word against Communism or Marxism.

Well, let's take a look at *"Multum in parvo"* for July. Beforehand, please wipe off your magnifying glass and digest the fact that 19 members of an Editorial Council together with a Managing Editor and an Associate Editor—21 in all—racked their kinky-haired brains to produce this Aristotelian masterpiece.

The front cover is devoted to a display headline which reads *"Why the Whitewash of the Christian Front?"*.

In smaller type the prospective purchaser is enticed to spend his nickel for 8 dwarfed pages (including covers) with the startling promise which reads: *"The Real Meaning of the Brooklyn Trial."*

Now it is not easy for a simple individual to bat his brains out against 21 stalwarts who parade themselves as the cream of the crop on the subject of democracy, equality, Americanism and Christianity.

* * *

Since fools rush in where Communists fear to tread, we'll risk the bumping of one's head by quoting at random a few passages from the book of wisdom which keeps slipping from my knee. (If *Equality* keeps getting smaller, the publishers will be sending it to you on the back of a penny post card.)

So here goes.

"The records of the Christian Front trial present unquestionable proof of a gigantic nationwide plot to form a storm troop army with units in all parts of the country."

We suspected from the outset that this Judge Campbell was a delectable old rascal for not having succeeded in sending the 17 indicted men to Sing Sing.

From the above quotation the 21 Aristotles seem to think that he is. And who is going to be so rash as to question the veracity and unimpeachable absolutism of these scribes and pharisees?

And some more.

(The records) "prove that these storm troop units contain within their ranks arsonists, bombers, would-be murderers, agents provocateurs, rioters, and fanatical agitators."

We were just thinking that these Brooklyn jurists do not know their business, else they would have caboosed the jury of 11 men and one woman, replacing them by the 21 members of the editorial staff.

There would have been both equality and justice had this taken place.

In fact, this staff, which persists in referring to Denis Healy as "Witness" Denis Healy, would have stricken from the records the fact that he was an informer and a prevaricator. There must be equality at all costs.

And later on the *Equality* article informs us that "the Christian Front movement was not concentrated in the hands of the fourteen men who were placed in the dock. It is not concentrated in New York. It is a nationwide intrigue that has boiled over in big cities elsewhere in the country."

Of course it is only a mere incident that the 14 men got out of the dock—and by due process of law. And it is only anti-Semitic to say that the Christian Front movement was brought into existence because an anti-Christian front cabal had grabbed off the monoply on amusements and a few other items of national import.

We pause to remark that before the next Christian Front trial eventuates they should grab off the monoply of juries and judges —and then there will be *"equality."*

And some more.

* * *

"But the most important fact of all, the fact which the prosecution scrupulously avoided, was that these men, vicious, murderous, insane with stupid hate, drugged with a mumbo-jumbo of religious fervor and psychopathic dreams of grandeur, are only the tools of bigger men."

Hot diggity! There's a paragraph worthy of the scribes and pharisees who wore out 21 pen points or typewriter ribbons in composing their 8 page masterpiece.

That paragraph is a "gem of purest ray." In one well planned verbal *blitzkrieg* it thumbs its editorial nose at the judge and jury and finds guilty the unconvicted youths of vice, murder, insanity, hate, fanaticism and psychopathic aberrations.

Every time we read that paragraph the thought occurs to us that we were cheated when we went through grammar school, high school and college. Our professors failed to teach us how to out-general Shakespeare in the regimentation of words.

But the higher-ups! Let us read what *Equality* says of them:

"The higher-ups! What of them? Father Charles E. Coughlin, who planned for them, set the stage, spurred them on to the plotting of mass rioting and assassination, who consistently doped them with the poisonous ingredients for their conspiracy, who served as their instructor in the borrowed art of Nazi terrorism."

Wham! That Coughlin fellow must be a slicker!

How he can get away with it is beyond us!

It was beyond Judge Cambell.

It was beyond the jury.

It was beyond J. Edgar Hoover.

It is beyond everybody except these talmudic Galentos.

* * *

This is more serious than you think it is. Congress should pass a law to deport this man Coughlin—or, at least, to report him to his ecclesiastical superiors or to "Henry the Morgue."

In fact, "Henry the Morgue," who has more gold than Henry VIII had wives, should bury this Coughlin in Fort Knox, Kentucky.

The 21 ancients who sit in the editorial thrones of *Equality* would gladly second any motion made by Robert Weiner or Josef Stalin to that effect.

Then follows a blast at another Catholic clergyman. Thus reads the blast: "Father Edward Lodge Curran, whom witnesses described as the anti-Semitic agitator who had drawn them into the Christian Front."

Shucks! We knew all along that Dr. Curran was an anti-Semite. Hasn't he been out battling for Christian principles and Christian practices? And doesn't that add up to anti-Semitism?

And, finally, Bishop Molloy and Patrick Scanlan are inferentially lined up with this "bad man Coughlin."

Said the 21 high priests of this phoney pair: "The editors of the *Brooklyn Tablet*, who provided them (the indicted men) with a propaganda outlet in an official diocesan organ."

Ah! But you haven't read anything yet about these nasty higher-ups.

Possibly you have been waiting for years to hear the inside story of their success. Well, here it is, according to the 8 page, 5 cent, 21-man-powered *Equality*. Here it is:

"These higher-ups are the men who have been supplying the millions of dollars which have been necessary for the tremendous campaign which the Christian Front and its allied organizations have been conducting under the leadership of Father Coughlin."

This bad man Coughlin has a church. The church has a basement. The basement has a vault. The vault has tons of gold stored in it!

(Continued on Page 10)

EQUALITY CALLS THE SCORE

Since May 1939, *Equality* has consistently championed racial and religious tolerance. It has championed American democracy and the rights of the victims of anti-Semitism and Coughlinite violence. Again and again it has been the first to call the score on the so-called Christian Front and its plotting against the democratic system. It has documented facts, named names, sounded timely warnings.

Observe the record:

MAY 1939: *Equality* called on Catholic Hierarchy to "stop the activities of those Catholics who are swerving from their allegiance to American democratic institutions."

OCTOBER 1939: *Equality* issued famous Open Letter to Archbishop Spellman giving detailed inside picture of Christian Front plotting, including formation of platoons of twenty-five, "sports clubs" for terroristic training, Trojan horse tactics in police department and National Guard, and incitements to obtain arms and fight in "the Franco way." Pleaded with him to publicly disassociate the Catholic Church in New York City from this movement.

FEBRUARY 1940: *Equality*, following arrest of Brooklyn defendants, called on F. B. I. to investigate the chief culprits, including Father Coughlin, leader and teacher of the Christian Front. Renewed plea to Catholic Hierarchy to repudiate movement, naming its most active centers.

MARCH 1940: *Equality* published most detailed documented exposure ever prepared of Father Coughlin's movement to subvert democracy behind the mask of religion. Joined with Catholics, Protestants, and Jews in deploring his profanation of a great faith.

APRIL 1940: *Equality* spread consternation in Christian Front when information about activities "leaked out" through its columns, first witness at Brooklyn trials testifies.

MAY 1940: *Equality* article, "A Catholic at the Brooklyn Trials," foreshadowed whitewash early in proceedings, describing how jury was selected and how prosecution muffed case by protecting Christian Front and Father Coughlin.

Equality is on guard for *your* civil and religious liberties, as Catholics, as Protestants, as Jews, as Americans.

Read and support *Equality!*

—*From the July edition of* Equality

Equality Magazine

(Continued from Page 9)

Now, that ain't equality! The poor little persecuted boys who have been plastering Father Coughlin in the press, on the radio and on the platform have neither backing nor gold. Every time they open their mouths they are hoose-gowed. If they innocently shout "world revolution," they are lambasted.

There ain't no justice!

Neither bad man Coughlin nor anti-Semite Curran nor propaganda *Tablet* has been touched by the heel of the law, despite the fact that the most reputable Communists in the country have demanded that they be guillotined.

After all, lynching isn't quite so bad as guillotining. It is a mere incident that these three and others were lynched editorially without benefit of judge or jury by the big shot Jewish-controlled newspapers. They have so much neck, however, that the rope didn't take.

Because the press didn't demand that J. Edgar Hoover be assassinated, the judge impaled and the jury incarcerated for their joint failure in not having convicted the Brooklyn boys, *Equality* whales the daylights out of the *New York Times*, the *News* and other papers for not having made perfect asses of themselves. And the 21 brainy editors give them no credit for having made only imperfect asses of themselves for the headlines they employed in smearing the so-called Christian Fronters when they were arrested.

But these 21 men are not Casper Milquetoasts. When they have something to say, they say it—and how!

F'r instance: "What a sad commentary on American justice! The defendants included men pledged to assassinate and terrorize. Their movement had carried out part of its program on the streets of New York, where it had struck terror into the hearts of the Jewish population and on more than a dozen occasions had caused Jewish blood to run."

Holy smokes! That's melodramatic! You would imagine that Hitler had captured Times Square or Union Square on first having read that thriller.

And towards the end of this 8 page book of wisdom the combined efforts of the scribes and pharisees, more politely known as the editorial staff, wrote:

"Witness spoke of 'eradicating' all Jews in the United States, of secret plots to assassinate several Congressmen. It was testified that they were going to bomb the headquarters of organizations which opposed them, that they were going to provoke an uprising and then crush it with guns and bullets. Yet all these things, under the interpretation placed upon them by the jury, are not crimes. It is not, in democratic America, a crime to torment, torture, provoke and openly, falsely and maliciously attack a helpless and harassed minority group; it is not a crime to store guns and bullets for future killings. These things are not crimes. The jury has absolved the criminals and has, in effect, told them and their fascist compatriots throughout the nation that the way is clear for their assault on American democratic rights and institutions. If these things are not crimes, then they can be carried on with impunity by Christian Frontists, Silver Shirts and the like—all under the smokescreen of fighting 'Communism,' 'fifth columns' and other mythical bogeys."

We told you all along that Communism was only a mythical bogey.

Now *Equality* admits it to be such.

What do squirrels eat?

Three guesses.

Yes, you're right!

Russia and Germany – a Mystery

HERE IS a puzzling mystery:

Where did Adolf Hitler obtain the money to finance the war?

There was no gold in Germany following the *Peace Treaty of Versailles.*

There were many restrictions against German commerce.

Aside from all that, from the United States of America went a considerable amount of money for the rehabilitation of Germany. But that was before the advent of Hitler to power.

Confessedly, there is a mystery surrounding the Hitler bank roll.

It is said, in some reliable quarters, that Great Britain, France and the United States previewed Germany as an up and coming Joe Louis who would take care of Josef Stalin.

It is said further that certain banking houses in the three countries above mentioned financed Germany so that Hitler would be able to knock out the Russian bear.

But the plot thickens. Some analysts maintain that these financiers knew all along that while Naziism inveighed against Communism, nevertheless, there was a secret alliance between the two of them; and that both were puppets dangled by the fingers of the international bankers.

In other words, these internationalists cunningly were preparing for the day when the twins generated by Karl Marx, Communism and Naziism, would amalgamate their forces to deal a death blow to so-called Christian civilization.

* * *

Where *did* Hitler get his bank roll?

Not inside Germany. Circumstances indicate that it was supplied from the outside.

Possibly all this does not seem to make sense. Admittedly, no one will venture, at this juncture, to name names or to specify amounts.

All we know of fact is that this is a war of anti-capitalism against capitalism.

All we know is that neither the anti-capitalists nor the capitalists espouse a Christian concept of economy.

Remember how the late Pope Pius XI criticized modern capitalism? Remember how he said that it exploits the poor, concentrates wealth, holds control over credit and money so that no one dares breathe against its will; and, finally, engenders war?

Keep that point in mind.

And also keep in mind that modern capitalism, so well known for its abuses, was international; and that the internationalists boasted of having brought it into being, as formerly cited in these columns and elsewhere.

And what about Adolf Hitler who proclaimed in *"Mein Kampf,"* and in public speeches, that Naziism was Germany's hope to destroy Communism? Possibly he believed in that theory.

Possibly he still believes in that theory.

But, in so far as Naziism supports and practices the philosophy of Marxism, it matters little what its head men believe because, eventually, any stream stemming from the poison spring of Marxism leads into the ocean of anti-Christ.

To change the metaphor, Marxism is a political and social maggot spawned upon the rotting carcass of international capitalism which exploited labor, agriculture, industry and commerce through the private control of money based on gold and debt.

To wage war to maintain modern capitalism as practiced in Britain, France and the United States amounts to nothing more than to wage war to preserve one of the major causes responsible for Marxism.

One can not get rid of the effects unless he gets rid of the causes.

* * *

It seems to us that if we spent less time arguing about the menace of Communism and Naziism, and more time in ridding our social body of the abuses of capitalism which produced Marxism, we would be assuming a position more logical than we suspect.

It is ridiculous to regiment the youth of America to fight Communism and Naziism abroad if we persist in cozening the causes of Communism and Naziism at home.

Political leaders, therefore, must divorce themselves from international finance and its attendant evils.

Republicans, Democrats, Catholics, Protestants, Jews and non-religionists—having tried to operate, and unsuccessfully, on the basis of modern capitalism (so vigorously criticized by Pius XI) should busy themselves seeking Christian principles and putting them into practice.

Christianity is the only key to the solution of the world's difficulties.

We do not favor Naziism.

We do not favor Communism.

We do not favor international capitalism.

We stand appalled before the sight presented to us, namely, Communism and Naziism welded together and financed by international capitalism operating outside the domain of Russia and Germany.

As a matter of fact, *the same sinister forces operate all three of them and for the same sinister end!*

Christian social justice, following the principles and teachings of Christ, is the only system in which there is hope for mankind.

Fortress of Europe

THE WAR MONGERS' insistence on the necessity for this country springing to arms with everything we've got to save England, is not shared by the *New York Herald Tribune*, which blasts the myth of Nazi "invincibility."

"The vast legend of German invincibility, leaping to towering heights from one smashing victory to the next, overshadows the world and paralyses the imagination—even perhaps the German imagination," says the *Herald Tribune.*

"Nothing, we are told, can withstand the dive bombers and the armored columns. But nothing on the German side has been able to withstand the British fighter pilots, and you can't swim the Channel in an armored column.

"The Germans, it is explained, with heavy artillery mounted on the Calais shore can reach all the way to Dover and so, denying the Channel to the British fleet, open the way for their transports. But what reason is there to suppose that the British artillery at Dover cannot shoot back to Calais and sink the transports as they come?"

Some Notes on

The Monroe Doctrine

THE MAIN incident of President Monroe's second term was the enunciation of what is called the *Monroe Doctrine.*

Europe, alarmed at the unsettled political outlook, caused by the American and French Revolutions, which had shaken every throne and jolted the crowns on royal brows, cast about to stay the tide of freedom. Three of the great Powers—France, Russia and Prussia — formed what is known as the Holy Alliance.

"Spain, in a rare burst of impatience with tyranny, had deposed Ferdinand; France assembled an army of a hundred thousand men, and restored him to his throne."

It was then determined by the Alliance to extinguish the new South and Central American Republics, and make them appendages of European monarchies.

"England disapproved this plan, not from any desire to promote republican institutions, but in her own interests; and Canning, the English premier, proposed an Alliance to the United States.

"Rush, the American minister in London, replied, on the spur of the moment, that all necessary ends would be answered if England would recognize the independence of the South American governments; but this Canning declined to do.

"On the other hand, he would not interfere with any action which America might take.

"Monroe approved of Rush's attitude, and consulted with Jefferson and Madison as to what should be done.

"Jefferson replied, 'The question is the most momentous that has been offered to my contemplation since that of Independence. That made us a nation: this sets our compass and points the course which we are to steer. And never could we embark under circumstances more auspicious. Our first and fundamental maxim should be, never to entangle ourselves in the broils of Europe. Our second, never to suffer Europe to meddle in cis-Atlantic affairs. America, North and South, has a set of interests distinct from those of Europe, and peculiarly her own. She should therefore have a system of her own, separate and apart from those of Europe. While the last is laboring to become the domicile of despotism, our endeavor should surely be to make our hemisphere that of freedom.'

"His system contemplated 'keeping out of our land all foreign powers, and never permitting those of Europe to intermeddle with the affairs of our nations.'

"And considering that England avowed the principles of freedom, he thought that to accept her moral support in this course would be to maintain, not to depart from, the policy in question, and to make war impossible.

"Madison agreed with Jefferson, but suspected Canning of some ulterior designs.

"Monroe, thus supported, wrote his message, which immediately became and has remained one of the most famous of our State papers.

"It stated that America would consider any attempt on the part of the Holy Alliance to extend their system to any portion of this hemisphere as dangerous to our peace and safety; and that the American continents, by the free and independent condition which they have assumed and maintain, are henceforth not to be considered as subjects for future colonization by any European powers.

"This was a momentous announcement. It would be historically foolish to maintain that it was the creation of Monroe's mind, or of that of Jefferson, or of any other individual. It formulated the feeling that had been gradually growing up throughout the Union. It was a statement of our conviction that the Americas had been set apart by Providence as the home of free institutions, in which none of the old, exhausted forms of government could be permitted to remain or to enter.

"Monroe had the insight and the courage to be the spokesman of this conviction. The warning against entangling alliances which Washington had given was designed as a safeguard against drifting into a position where, as a return for services rendered us by some European power, we would be constrained to allow it privileges which would compromise our political principles; it did not, and could not, prevent us from extending the American system, if opportunity offered, or circumstances demanded, to regions not included within our continental boundaries as at present described.

"America might incorporate Europe; but Europe must not invade America."

* * *

(The above notes are taken from *"The History of the United States,"* by Henry Hawthorne, and expresses his individual views. We maintain that America should not "incorporate Europe.")

Here Is the Monroe Doctrine in President Monroe's Words

IN HIS SEVENTH annual message, addressed to the eighteenth Congress on December 2, 1823, President Monroe said:

"Of events in that quarter of the globe, with which we have so much intercourse and from which we derive our origin, we have always been anxious and interested spectators. The citizens of the United States cherish sentiments the most friendly in favor of the liberty and happiness of their fellowmen on that side of the Atlantic.

"In the wars of the European powers, in matters relating to themselves, we have never taken any part, nor does it comport with our policy so to do. It is only when our rights are invaded or seriously menaced, that we resent injuries or make preparation for our defense.

"With the movements in this hemisphere we are of necessity more immediately connected, and by causes which must be obvious to all enlightened and impartial observers.

"The political system of the allied powers is essentially different in this respect from that of America. This difference proceeds from that which exists in their respective governments. And to the defense of our own, which has been achieved by the loss of so much blood and treasure, and matured by the wisdom of their most enlightened citizens, and under which we have enjoyed unexampled felicity, this whole nation is devoted.

"We owe it, therefore, to candor, and to the amicable relations existing between the United States and those powers, to declare, that we should consider any attempt on their part to extend their system to any portion of this hemisphere as dangerous to our peace and safety.

"With the existing colonies or dependencies of any European power we have not interfered, and shall not interfere. But with the governments who have declared their independence, and maintained it, and whose independence we have, on great consideration, and on just principles, acknowledged, we could not view any interposition for the purpose of oppressing them, or controlling in any other manner their destiny, by any European power, in any other light than as the manifestation of an unfriendly disposition toward the United States.

"In the war between those new governments and Spain, we declared our neutrality at the time of their recognition, and to this we have adhered, and shall continue to adhere, provided no change shall occur which, in the judgment of competent authorities of this government, shall make a corresponding change on the part of the United States indispensable to their security.

"The late events in Spain and Portugal show that Europe is still unsettled. Of this important fact no stronger proof can be adduced, than that the allied powers should have thought it proper, on a principle satisfactory to themselves to have interposed by force in the internal concerns of Spain. To what extent such interposition may be carried, on the same principle, is a question to which all independent powers, whose governments differ from theirs, are interested; even those most remote, and surely none more so than the United States.

"Our policy in regard to Europe, which was adopted at an early stage of the wars which have so long agitated that quarter of the globe, nevertheless remains the same, which is, not to interfere in the internal concerns of any of its powers; to consider the government, *de facto*, as the legitimate government for us; to cultivate friendly relations with it, and to preserve those relations by a frank, firm, and manly policy; meeting, in all instances, the just claims of every power, submitting to injuries from none.

"But in regard to these continents, circumstances are eminently and conspicuously different. It is impossible that the allied powers should extend their political system to any portion of either continent without endangering our peace and happiness; nor can any one believe that our southern brethren, if left to themselves, would adopt it of their own accord.

"It is equally impossible, therefore, that we should behold such interposition, in any form, with indifference. If we look to the comparative strength and resources of Spain and those new governments, and their distance from each other, it must be obvious that she can never subdue them. It is still the true policy of the United States to leave the parties to themselves, in the hope that other powers will pursue the same course."

Future White Paper

British Statements

October 6, 1939—Ministry of Information statement:

"In the first place, something more is needed than the unsecured promises of the head of the German government, which in the past have proven worthless, to induce the French and British to lay down the arms they took up reluctantly.

"The fault of Herr Hitler's scheme to dictate peace before the war had really begun on the Western Front, where the British armies are gathering now, was . . . its utter failure to make any suggestion of reparation for the wrongs done to Poland and Czechoslovakia."

October 10, 1939—Chamberlain to Commons:

" Great Britain intends to fight on until the people of Europe are assured of the right to enjoy peace and liberty free from the recurrent German threats of aggression."

October 18, 1939—Chamberlain to Commons:

". . . In these early days we must not, of course, indulge in foolish boasts. The attacks so far made upon our coasts have been few and on a small scale, and it would be unwise to assume that we shall always be as successful as we have been in these first exchanges. There are many surprises in war, and they cannot all be pleasant. But we have, at least, the satisfaction of knowing that we have made a good beginning."

October 25, 1939—Statement of Viscount Halifax:

". . . Meanwhile, British strength must be reinforced at all points vital to the strategic interests of this country, and, when the time comes for making an honorable peace, every effort should be made to create an order in which security, which is lacking now, could be established. *We are not fighting for any imperialist reasons, but in order to save civilization which is threatened in many ways.*"

October 26, 1939—Ambassador Lothian before "The Pilgrims":

". . . In this war, we are confident that our cause is going to prevail. We have no doubt about it."

October 27, 1939—Chamberlain to Commons:

". . . But it is not England that has challenged Germany. It is the German government who, by their persistent acts of aggression, pursued in the face of our repeated warnings, have forced us at last, reluctantly, to take up arms. It is the German government who by their reckless disregard of their pledged word and of the rights and liberties of other peoples must bear the responsibility for this war and for all its consequences."

November 7, 1939—Admiralty Statement:

"German submarines, mines and surface raiders have sunk only 1½ per cent of British Merchant Shipping since outbreak of war. Sunk 238,795 out of 18,500,000 tons."

November 7, 1939—Lord Halifax in radio broadcast:

". . . Great Britain is fighting to maintain the rule of law and the quality of mercy between man and man. We are fighting in defense of freedom, we are fighting for peace, we are meeting the challenge of our own security and that of others and we are defending the rights of all nations to live their own lives . . . Only two courses are open to Britain: The nation can either defy Germany or acquiesce in her policy and acquiescence means dishonor."

November 8, 1939—Churchill to Commons:

". . . The submarine is being mastered and we may face the future with confidence . . . We shall suffer, and we shall suffer continually, but by perseverance and by taking measures on the largest scale, I feel no doubt that in the end we shall break their (German) heart."

November 10, 1939 — Chamberlain:

". . . Britain does not want to continue the war a day longer than necessary if a satisfactory settlement can be obtained in another way."

November 20, 1939 — Chamberlain to Commons:

". . . I may remind the House that in the last war, as a measure of justified reprisal for sub attacks on merchant shipping, exports of German origin or ownership were made subject to seizures on the high seas. The many violations of international law and the ruthless brutality of German methods have demanded us to follow a similar course now."

December 5, 1939—Viscount Halifax to House of Lords:

". . . The Russian attack on Finland seems to be a direct consequence of German policy . . . Britain is determined to see the war through . . . The people of Britain were profoundly shocked at the Russian invasion of Finland, but were filled with admiration for the magnificent resistance of the Finns."

December 5, 1939—Viscount Halifax to House of Lords:

". . . The view of those who would call off the war to save Western civilization from worse perils is shortsighted. The evidence of German savagery in Poland and of tyranny in Prague give a hint of what might be expected to follow a premature peace with the Nazis."

December 7, 1939—Churchill to Commons:

". . . It is, however, my sure belief that we are getting the better of this (U-boat) menace to our life. We are buffeted by the waves, but the ocean tides flow steady and strong in our favor."

Dividing Up the Pie



—Elderman in the Washington Post

February 1, 1940—Chamberlain before Public Interest Commission at Dorchester House:

". . . We now have the first contingent of the B.E.F. in France. The modern soldier is an expert, trained in the use of enormously powerful and complicated machinery, which is an essential ingredient in modern warfare. Already we have sent to France for their sustenance many thousands of motor transport vehicles and nearly half a million tons of munitions and stores . . . But one thing is becoming clearer every day, not from anything we say, but from the action of the Germans themselves, and that is that we are fighting not only for ourselves, but for every country which is oppressed by fear that some day they might find themselves in the position which has been occupied by Czechoslovakia, by Poland and now Finland."

February 3, 1940—Eden at Shakespeare Theater at Stratford on Avon:

". . . This is no war of aggrandizement. We seek neither wealth nor territory. This is no clash of dynasties. It is a struggle for international decency."

Oliver Stanley, War Secretary:

". . . Be under no illusion as to the alternative of victory. Some people call the *Treaty of Versailles* a hard peace. Do you think a victorious Germany would give a defeated Britain a *"Treaty of Versailles"?* Shorn of our Empire our fleet and our trade, we should be a small overcrowded island, with recovery impossible and even its survival in doubt. That is why I have only one war aim—to win the war—and one peace aim—that peace shall be lasting."

February 8, 1940—Richard Austen Butler, Under Secretary of Foreign Affairs, to Commons:

"Steps were being taken to supply war materials to Finland, including aircraft from England with the fullest realization of the urgency of speed in delivery."

April 11, 1940—Churchill to Commons:

"Faeroe Islands are being held in escrow until that helpless country (Norway) is liberated from the foul thralldom into which she has been plunged by German aggression . . . As for Iceland, no German will be allowed to set foot there with impunity . . . The German fleet has been crippled in important respects . . . In my view, which is shared by my skilled advisers, Hitler committed a grave strategical error in spreading the war so far to the North and in forcing the Scandinavian people out of their attitude of neutrality . . . For myself, I consider that Hitler's action in invading Norway and Scandinavia is as great a strategic and political error as that committed by Napoleon when he invaded Spain."

May 7, 1940—Chamberlain to Parliament:

". . . The British withdrawal from South Norway is not comparable to the withdrawal at Gallipolis. There were no large forces involved. There was not much more than a single division . . . Quite a lot of people think that when I said 'Hitler missed the bus'

(Continued on Page 18)

We See by the Press

The 'Filth' Columnists

A NATION-WIDE campaign of anti-Christian attacks upon morality and religion—more perilous to the soul of the nation than the work of any fifth column—is being exposed in the Catholic press.

The *Reader's Digest* is still refunding money on subscriptions cancelled because of its reprint of a libelous attack upon Father Coughlin and the ladies who attend devotions at the Shrine of the Little Flower. The original offender was *Scribner's Commentator* and the article was by a purporting Catholic writing under the name of J. P. McEvoy.

In the current *Catholic Review* of Baltimore we read the following:

"In the May issue of the *Reader's Digest*, there was published a condensation of a story which had appeared earlier in *Harper's Magazine*. We consider the story so indecent that we cannot conscienciously even mention the title of the article or quote from it, in a paper which is read by the young members as well as the older members of Catholic families.

"There has been a justifiable campaign in recent years against pornographic magazines, publications which are not fit to be admitted into any respectable home. We say, without hesitancy, that *we do not remember ever to have read in the worst of such magazines any such gutter stuff as we found in the article which was reprinted from* Harper's *by the* Reader's Digest *of May.*"

In reply to the protest of a Baltimore reader who pointed out that the *Reader's Digest* is used in high schools and that, therefore, the publication had a duty to respect the morals of young readers, the editors reply without apology:

"We quoted the article as a human interest document bringing out in lighter vein certain cheerful aspects of what too often has been thought of as darkly perilous. Quite legitimately it may be regarded as one of those articles which in our coverage of the magazine field we should regard as 'representative' and quote, even though not 100 per cent perfect."

* * *

And in the same mail, in the *Catholic Herald Citizen*, the lively religious newspaper of the archdiocese of Milwaukee, we read this:

"The *American Magazine* appears to have adopted Hitler's method of attacking the Catholic Church. In its June issue, this publication (*American Magazine*) carried into some 2-million homes (possibly 300,000 of them Catholic) a story which is as offensive as any of the Nazis' attempts to smear the Catholic clergy and undermine respect for the Catholic Church.

"This bit of fiction describes an elaborate banquet given in honor of a cardinal, attended by an archbishop and priests. Orgy is the fit word to describe the affair at which drunkenness and licentiousness reign."

* * *

"The patience of the Catholic laity with this dishonest technique of fomenting anticlericalism under the guise of entertainment will soon be exhausted," observes the Rev. Paul Tanner, secretary of Catholic Action in the Archdiocese of Milwaukee. "It is time such bigotry be unmasked and crushed!"

The *American Magazine* is published by the Crowell-Collier Publishing Company, Springfield, Ohio, with executive and editorial offices at 250 Park Avenue, New York City. The same company also publishes the *Woman's Home Companion* and *Collier's*.

Now, all of this subversion against Christian morals would seem to be too widespread to be the accidents of coincidence. An organized underground assault upon Christian morality is getting results that make fifth columnists look like amateurs.

Do the editors of these magazines themselves realize the extent to which they are being "used"? We doubt if they do. There are some respectable traditions, and no little of sound Americanism, behind these publications which are being victimized. Taken in once, the editorial offices have the opportunity to apologize and clean out their "filth columnists."

So far as the *Reader's Digest* is concerned, the editors have as much as given notice that they are frankly out after the "smut" trade.

Pegler Fair Enough

IN A SYNDICATED column reminiscent of the old Pegler, (which, this Commentator believes, was Pegler at his best), the columnist does a piece for the newspapers about Messrs. Roosevelt and Willkie.

Believing that it deserves even wider reading than the circulation its newspaper syndication affords, this National Weekly passes it along with all credit and with our own commending comment:

"If I were handling the bucket in President Roosevelt's corner in this campaign, assuming that Mr. Roosevelt and Wendell Willkie are to be the nominees, I would lay off that propaganda about Mr. Willkie's home address and his business address in New York and his being an apostate Hoosier. I would be afraid that Mr. Willkie would tear my man's ears off in that kind of fighting.

"Mr. Willkie's home address, 1010 Fifth Ave., which is just 264 numbers to the south of that humble friend of the people, Fiorello H. LaGuardia, who lives on the same street, and not more than a dime's worth of cab mileage from the Communist district represented by Representative Vito Marcantonio, who claims to be no Communist but constantly runs with them, and, by coincidence at best, votes and speaks as they would have him vote and speak.

"Mr. Willkie's home address is a lot closer to the poverty of Harlem than the town address of Mr. Roosevelt, and, moreover, he pays his own rent and bought his own kettles and blankets, whereas Mr. Roosevelt's home was given to him by his mother, completely furnished.

Innuendo Can Boomerang

"His business address is 20 Pine St., down in the financial district, and 20 Pine is the Chase National Bank Building. I can't make anything out of that but innuendo, meant to suggest that Mr. Willkie, somehow, was mixed up in the Chase National when Al Wiggin was running the bank.

"But I wouldn't monkey around with innuendo if I were handling Mr. Roosevelt's bucket, because once you start using that sort of material you invite the other fellow to do it, and I wouldn't want to expose my man to innuendo. Not my man, Mr. Big. Ordinarily, a man accepting a job with a business firm, as Mr. Willkie did, doesn't ask his new boss who owns the building and refuse the opportunity if he doesn't like the landlord's morals.

"But, just as straight counter-punching, Mr. Willkie could point out that when President Roosevelt was practicing law, back in the wickedest era of big business and all such as that, his office was at 120 Broadway, which is just as close to the Stock Exchange as 20 Pine.

"To make it hurt, Mr. Willkie might point out that my man was a partner, and to that extent his own boss, rather than an employe in the law business, and settled at 120 Broadway for choice. He might even dig up the identity of the owner of 120 Broadway at that time on the off chance that that landlord was even worse than the Chase under Mr. Wiggin, for purposes of innuendo.

Topic Packed With TNT

"I wouldn't get fresh about the kind of corporations that Mr. Willkie has served as a lawyer and executive or his association with the cause of private capital and wealth, either. Because Mr. Willkie might recall some of the goofy company promotions with which my man was identified as a front man, his record as a lawyer—which certainly wasn't hot—and the fact that my man lived on inherited wealth and allowances from his mother until long after he was married. For extra zing Mr. Willkie might recall that the family interest included some coal lands in Bloody Harlan County, Kentucky.

"Those are dangerous topics to fool with in a scrap with a man of Mr. Willkie's type. I would realize that this is no Alf Landon but a terrific body-puncher who likes to stand on the flat of his feet, sink his head against your wishbone and belt away until something breaks.

"I certainly wouldn't go cracking about his Hoosierism. In the first place, those Hoosiers never do get un-Hoosierized or de-Hoosierized, or whatever you would say. That is one thing I don't like about them. They come down to New York and make a lot of dough, but they never get really naturalized, and whenever they get a little bit tight you hear them yowling about the moonlight bright tonight along the Wabash.

Hoosier Patriotism 'Incurable'

"Like some foreign immigrants they are always dropping their Hoosier cousins and fraternity brothers into New York jobs and sending their kids back to Indiana to college for fear that they will grow attached to the section in which they were born and where their parents found opportunities and prosperity that didn't exist in Indiana.

"Mr. Willkie is still a Hoosier all right, and, moreover, by raising that issue I would give him an opportunity to get homespun and point out that while my man was growing up with governesses and servants, going to private schools, traveling abroad and sailing yachts which mama bought him, this Hoosier was slinging hash, following the harvest out West and teaching school for the little money that it took a Hoosier to nickle his way through college.

"No, sir, if I were handling Mr. Roosevelt against Mr. Willkie I would not mess with that kind of controversy. I would keep my punches well up, use the ring all the time, avoid the clinches and try to box him fancy on lofty issues of the day."

Letters

(SOCIAL JUSTICE aims to make this page a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally, the views expressed are not always those of this magazine. We cannot guarantee that contributions sent to SOCIAL JUSTICE can be returned to the writers. Letters not signed with the writer's name and address cannot be considered, although the name will not necessarily be used. We reserve the right to publish all letters received unless contrary instruction is specifically given.)

Half-Hour Broadcasts

TO THE EDITOR:

The Social Justice Club of Rochester wishes to extend our congratulations and voice our pleasure on the style of your three-column page of Comment.

We find this much to our liking. Since we cannot hear the radio discourses at present, this seems a very commendable manner of bringing Father Coughlin's views to the public.

Speaking for his listeners in general, we wish to state that we appreciate very much the last seven or eight half-hour broadcasts, and believe that half an hour, without music or singing, would do if the full hour were not available or too expensive.

—Social Justice Club of Rochester
Ceil Hummel, Secy.
Rudolph C. Schwanzer, President

Rochester, N. Y.

No Anti-Red Films

TO THE EDITOR:

As a resident of Hollywood, it gives me a laugh to see the producers of the many anti-Nazi pictures plaster the theaters with the dread warnings of the terrible Nazi fifth columnists, when if the truth were told, the real fifth columnists in this town are the very producers of those pictures!

Communist fifth columnists outnumber the Nazi operators in this country fifty to one.

Incidentally, we are still waiting for the anti-communistic picture, but, my dear readers do not hope for it, for you will never get it—for obvious reasons.

—E. R. Shannon

Hollywood, Calif.

The War Vote

TO THE EDITOR:

In the April 29th issue of SOCIAL JUSTICE you carried a story on the back page cover of the magazine stating that Senators Lundeen of Minnesota and Johnson of California voted against American entry into the World War.

Here are the facts: The vote in the Senate was 82 to 6 for war. There were eight absentees. The dissenters were Harry Lane of Oregon, William J. Stone of Missouri, James K. Vardaman of Mississippi, Asle J. Gronna of North Dakota, George W. Norris of Nebraska, and Robert M. La Follette, Sr., of Wisconsin.

Of the 8 absentees, all save the blind Senator Gore of Oklahoma, sent word they would have voted for war had they been present. The absentees were Bankhead, Goff, Gore, Hollis, Newlands, Smith (Md.), Thomas and Tillman.

Senator Johnson voted for the war. Mr. Lundeen was not a member of the Senate in 1917. He was a member of the House of Representatives and, as such, voted against the declaration of war. The vote in the House was 373 to 50. (*Congressional Record*, April 4, 1917, bound volume copy, page 261.)

Thus, Senator Norris is the only veteran Senator left of the six dissenters.

—Herman A. Dyke

Philadelphia, Pa.

To Lexington, Again!

TO THE EDITOR:

Has a citizen of the United States of America a right to bear arms against all enemies of the Republic — even enemies who seize it from within?

I'm only a "dumb farmer," but there's iron in my blood. This country belongs to Americans. The heroes of Valley Forge did not put it in escrow for Britain; they took it at heroic sacrifice from those who already have seized it again.

As there is a God in heaven I'll fight from behind the stone fences on my farm with primitive means, if that's all I have, to defend it again!

—Malcolm Graham

Northville, Mich.

Also an American

TO THE EDITOR:

It is unpopular for a German-American (and there are about 25-million of us) to express sentiments that are favorable toward Germany. There is an adage that blood is thicker than water. Those Germans over there are flesh of our flesh, blood of our blood—of that, we cannot be indifferent.

We would condemn any Franco-American or British-American who would advocate the destruction of his ancestral home. Why should the German-American join the British war effort to destroy the land that cradled his fathers? We not only claim the privilege to express a favorable opinion toward Germany, but we assume our Constitutional freedom of expression as one of the birthrights of an American, even as we concede the right of the pro-British element to voice their honest convictions.

Don't shout "Nazi traitor," or "Trojan horse," or "fifth columnist" or "go back" to us. This is our native land, the same as it is yours. Since the German-American is so able to stand unashamed and with conscience clear before the goddess of reason and justice as a loyal, patriotic and exemplary citizen, he need not apologize for his opinions to an angry mob of hate-mongering witch-hunters.

When this is no longer the land of the free, we shall shackle our conscience and go abroad in the land, as the inarticulate and muted millions, and as living monuments of intolerance in a land where tolerance had claimed a holy refuge.

—Norbert Ziegler

Cincinnati, Ohio

Objectivity

TO THE EDITOR:

In the words of a popular song of some years ago, can you "riddle me this?"

When Wilhelmina fled, she was a heroine; when Leopold, who shared his people's humiliation, surrendered honorably to spare them from death by thirst and starvation, he was a "traitor."

When Mussolini failed to enter the war immediately, he was a dirty double-crosser; when he did, he was a rat.

There is a national shrine to Napoleon, a hero; Hitler is just a monster.

When it's English oppression, it's just "propaganda"; when it's German, it's "truth."

It is the privilege of the American Government to condemn the governments of Italy or Germany but when a German Consul proffers a rebuttal he is assailed for his unfriendly attitude toward the United States.

When we point to English aggression we are reminded this is the twentieth century and we cannot go back one hundred years; whereupon, when we defend the German stand, we are taken back to the year one and not a single indiscretion is forgotten. It seems to me that when England does it, it is a "necessity" — when Germany does it, it's an "atrocity."

At regular intervals these days, either with or without cause, we drag out that weary shop sign, known as the *Monroe Doctrine*, dust it off and hang it out again. At the same time, however, we exercise the prerogative of entering into the disputes outside our own hemisphere. Furthermore, when certain Congressmen urged the annexation of British and French possessions in the Western Hemisphere in payment for their debts, such proposals were ignored. And now it looks as though we might do so merely to keep Germany from acquiring them.

America is a free country and we may, therefore, express our opinions openly, *provided they are pro-British and anti-German.*

—Marie-Bernadette Moran

Baltimore, Md.

(Continued on Page 15)

Stop Looking to Europe, Let Europe Look to Us

TO THE EDITOR:

The confusion concerning foreign policy that exists in the minds of the American people has not been given any respite because of the declarations of Governor Stassen and ex-President Hoover. Much enlightenment in this regard was expected from the Republican Convention.

Governor Stassen, keynoting the convention, designated "four major fronts" as the fulfillment of this nation's high destiny, as follows: National preparedness, Fifth Column defense, domestic economic welfare, and Governmental effectiveness and integrity. The chronology of these "four major fronts" is difficult to understand.

The average American is of the opinion that "domestic economic welfare" is the first consideration of preparedness and defense—not a third consideration. This same "average American" has not even a job or a home to defend. So why prepare to defend something you do not possess? With satisfactory "domestic economic welfare" there would be no "fifth column" danger from Hitler or Stalin. Therefore, by establishing "domestic economic welfare" as the first front we would automatically eliminate the "second front."

When speaking of "fifth columns" it is presumed that the Nazis and Communists are the only subversives who have "fifth columns" in the United States. Great Britain, too, has a powerful and menacing "fifth column" here which has, more or less, an official blessing.

The time is at hand when the politician must recognize that hyphenated Americanism must be abandoned. This applies to British-Americanism, Italo-Americanism, etc. The oath of public office does not carry with it the pledge of "material assistance" to Great Britain or any other nation.

The *Declaration of Independence* allows no allegiance to any foreign nation, but demands 100 per cent unmitigated allegiance to the *Constitution of the United States*. "Material assistance," or even sympathy for one outside nation by any group establishes a precedent for other groups to display sympathy and aid for an opposing outside nation. This creates domestic dissension instead of domestic tranquility. Therefore, to disband Hitler's fifth column in the United States it is imperative that Britain's fifth column be discouraged and disbanded.

The average American believes in and practices 100 per cent Americanism while the professional politician creates ill-will by extending "material assistance" to one belligerent nation, and tossing brick-hats at the others. All European nations are "aggressors," and always have been . . . why then encourage any of them?

We owe no more allegiance to Great Britain or France than we do to Germany, Italy or Russia. It is high time our politicians learned this. The bugabooes of "sister democracies" and "cousins across the sea" must be debunked with finality, here and now.

Unless we have a major party free from foreign sympathies and foreign commitments the United States cannot progress. Let us quit looking to Europe. Instead, we should develop a nation here that will cause all Europe to look to the United States.

—Fred A. Geier

Cincinnati, Ohio

Letters

(Continued from Page 14)

Tribute to an 'Unknown Soldier'

TO THE EDITOR:

This letter refers to the "Unknown Soldier" who stands on the corner of Forty-second street and Seventh avenue — one place in gigantic New York City where I can always buy a copy of SOCIAL JUSTICE.

Now, the "small minority" is a dangerous enemy—long ago they "bombed" Royal Oak—and New York is their stronghold. Times Square is overrun with them. And right here, this quiet little man—this *"parachutist"*—is hemmed in on all sides while you fellows, the General Staff, fire away from a distance that would make Big Bertha look like a pea-shooter!

But that brown-eyed, graying little man holds his ground; rain or shine, Winter and Summer. I've bought my copy of SOCIAL JUSTICE from him when it was so cold I could hardly fumble for the dime with my frozen, gloved hand. But the unknown soldier had been standing there for hours, and without gloves on his hands, and remained after I had left. Now that July and August are upon the city, the sidewalks of New York will be hot enough to fry an egg!

But by holding aloft SOCIAL JUSTICE on that busiest corner of the United States, that man is doing an inestimable service for the circulation of your national magazine. That man isn't enduring that hardship, obloquy, and danger for money. I've never happened to see anybody else in that ever-rushing crowd stop to buy a copy from him when I did, but the fact that he is always there is what is priceless to you—and to us who need the exchange of intelligence your magazine offers.

Militarily, there is such a thing as recognition for distinguished service. That is why I have described this man in such detail.

New York City —A Reader

SOCIAL JUSTICE is proud of its army of such Unknown Soldiers. They stand upon scores of corners in America. This office knows them as a name upon the records. They order a bundle shipment regularly, week in and week out. But they are more than a name and a number of papers: They are the front line fighters in a battle for Christian social justice in America. Who shall measure their influence for good in this free country?—Editor.

A Good Suggestion

TO THE EDITOR:

Would it be possible for you to publish a duplicate copy of Page 4 in the July 3, 1939, issue of SOCIAL JUSTICE:

"U.S. Entry in World War Cost 1-Million Lives, Says Churchill."

I own a copy of this issue, which I am showing to customers at my place of business.

Many have requested copies, as some of our readers have forgotten it. —Annette L. Trudeau

Bockton, Mass.

Christian Mother Aroused

TO THE EDITOR:

I am an American Christian mother with just enough Irish ancestry to make me want to fight at the very thought of this new brain-storm idea of a universal regimentation of all the youth of our nation.

The idea in itself is un-American but when the planning is to be put under the supervision of the anti-Christian Communist, Sidney Hillman, and the former W.P.A. politician, Harry Hopkins, it exceeds anything this New Deal Administration has done so far.

Hillman's C.I.O. Amalgamated Clothing Workers assessed each member of the union $10 during the Spanish civil war, to be sent to aid Loyalist Spain, which everyone knows *now*—and which Hillman knew *then* — was the Communist government of Spain.

This appointment indicates that we Americans are to be dominated by the Reds and the British!

Well, I am telling you that no Jewish Communist will plan my child's life and I hope every American mother and father will write to their Congressman at once to defeat such a bill.

Every place I go the anti-Semitic feeling has been revived more than ever since the appointment of Communist Sidney Hillman, who aided Red Loyalist Spain with money taken from the pay of Christian clothing workers. Let's hear more through the Letters page about how other Christian parents feel about their babies being pawn in the hands of anti-Christian Communists!

—Mrs. A.L.H.

Cincinnati, Ohio

Readers Like Comment

TO THE EDITOR:

Please continue giving us lively comment and plenty of it, just as you have been doing the past few weeks. Comment — five or six pages of it in SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine—puts life into it and adds that extra "something" which is very necessary to hold the interest of the reader.

One of the main reasons why I buy *The Tablet* is because of the interesting page of comment written by the "Managing Editor."

—Virginia T. Fenton

Kingston, N. Y.

Shame on Indian!

TO THE EDITOR:

I wonder what Americans who know the facts thought about the advertisement, *"Stop Hitler Now,"* appearing in newspapers throughout the United States a few weeks ago?

I am only a lad 20 years of age but old enough to realize that this was propaganda and the most jeopardous to the American people since the outbreak of the present war.

Mr. Roosevelt stated in a recent oration: "Some indeed still hold to the now obvious delusion that we of the United States can safely permit the United States to become a lone island in a world dominated by the philosophy of force."

In these trying times we should invert the old Indian proverb and keep it in mind: International financiers fool American people once, shame on financiers; International financiers fool American people *twice*, shame on people.

—Ray Wallisch

Chicago, Ill.

Murder on Orders

TO THE EDITOR:

To fight in defense of one's country is certainly a duty the citizen owes to his Government, but when the Government asks a man to become a killer on foreign soil, as in 1917-18, the citizen should have a right to refuse.

It seems to me that the majority does not rule in a matter of conscience. *"Thou shalt not kill"* is a binding Commandment.

American youth may be sure that if they are asked again to fight on foreign soil, it will not be for "democracy" nor "civilization" nor any other catchy phrase of the propagandists. They will be asked to commit murder so that a few men may profit — international bankers, munitions makers and professional politicians.

The great mass of us will get dictatorship, depression, debts, death and general misery. Christ's words will be forgotten, both during the war and at the "peace conference," as during and after the World War, when the Pope's counsel was not heeded.

"Peace with Justice," advocated by the present Holy Father, will be scorned again.

All Christian young men must be smarter than their fathers were, and prepare now to resist, to defeat the war mongers at their own propaganda game.

—P. Emory Weaver

Littlestown, Pa.

Danger Is Here

TO THE EDITOR:

I wonder what has become of the forgotten man. Back in 1932, President Roosevelt promised to drive the money changers out of the temple and thereby end the exploitation of the masses in America. Now the same Mr. Roosevelt is promising to England and France every aid short of war to save those same money changers and their rotten financial system from Hitler.

When a man makes a promise, if he is sincere in that promise, he ought to live up to it. President Roosevelt's administration has not solved any one of the internal problems of America. We still have a large army of the unemployed. More than one-third of our population is poorly clothed, poorly housed, poorly fed. The public debt has been and still is increasing by leaps and bounds. Where will all this careless spending lead us?

To my mind, we face more danger from the war mongers who are trying to drag us into a European war on the side of the Allies than we do from the Totalitarian governments.

Keep America safe for Americans, and solve our own problems first.

—J.G.

Brooklyn, N. Y.

The Real Election Issue

—Fitzpatrick in the St. Louis Post-Dispatch

Opinions on War

For

Senator Claude Pepper of Florida (on the floor of the Senate)

". . . We should not make peace with Hitler if he had never even threatened our shores, if we profess to be honorable men . . . I said I would be willing to let the British have a part of our Navy which I thought the Commander-in-Chief, in his opinion, would permit to go, it to be manned by British sailors, and be operated as a part of the British forces, in an effort to keep Hitler from spreading outside of Europe . . . I believe that crushing Hitler in Europe is so vital to the United States that whatever could be done with the approval of the Commander-in-Chief, advised by the Army and Navy authorities, I am in favor of, because I know if we destroy the danger at its source there is no danger of its spreading over here..."

* * *

Prof. Henry Steele Commager of Columbia University

". . . bolstering the fighting resources of Great Britain and her allies is good, old-fashioned common sense for the American people."

* * *

Chauncey J. Hamlin, Buffalo, N. Y., Head of a Group Co-operating with the National Committee to Defend America by Aiding the Allies

". . . I do not advocate aid to the Allies just as a protection for America, but because they are fighting for the principles in which we in the United States believe."

* * *

Perry Pipkin, President of the United States Junior Chamber of Commerce (in convention speech at Washington, D. C.)

Isolation is "a dead issue"; the United States must be "inseparably bound" to France and England "if Atlantic civilization is to be preserved."

Against

Congressman Martin L. Sweeney of Ohio (radio address)

". . . America sent 2,747,882 boys to Europe in the last World War. Of these boys, 113,280 never came back. They were killed in action or died from wounds and disease. There were 200,430 wounded in battle, many of whom today are in war-veterans' hospitals. Many are without legs and arms, some are raving maniacs because of that horrible conflict. Others are sightless, the tapping of canes their only guide through halls and grounds of their hospital homes.

"Practically all of the men returning from Europe after the armistice of November, 1918, have suffered social and economic dislocations resulting from our participation in that 'war to end all wars.' Added to the loss of life is the tremendous expense of compensations, hospitalizations and pensions. These veterans average 45 years of age, many of whom are unemployed because of the mass-production and highly industrialized system under which we operate. All this came about because we forgot the warning of George Washington to avoid entangling alliances with foreign powers . . ."

* * *

Congressman Hamilton Fish of New York (radio address)

". . . Any American who dares to expose the false and vicious interventionist propaganda and hysteria, like Col. Charles Lindbergh, is denounced as being either pro-Nazi or as being un-American and part of a 'fifth column' . . .

"Every poll shows that 95 per cent of the American people insist on staying out of foreign wars, but the 5 per cent of interventionists are using every possible means to inflame the passions of the people, incite fear of invasion, and generally promote war hysteria in order to break down the will of our people for peace and against participation in foreign wars . . ."

* * *

Thomas Cannon, head of the Catholic Order of Foresters, Chicago

". . . I have no use for (Senator) Pepper; I think he's a war monger, a menace and a danger to the safety of our country, and I don't want anything to do with a celebration where he's given a chance to sound off the way he's been doing on the floor of the Senate."

* * *

More Than 200 Prominent Protestant Clergymen, Representing Several Denominations (in signed statement)

". . . the best interests of the people of America and the cause of the Prince of Peace can best be served by steadfastly refusing to become involved in this war . . ."

Wouldn't Stand for It, Woodring Told Kansans

THREE WEEKS before his ouster as Secretary of War, Harry H. Woodring told friends in Topeka, Kansas, that "a small clique of international financiers" was seeking his removal because he opposed their efforts to drag the United States into war.

So reported the Associated Press on June 20, in a dispatch quoting the *Topeka Capital*. Mr. Woodring was said to have made the charge on June 1 while in Topeka to receive an honorary degree from Washburn College.

The *Capital*, according to the Associated Press, said that Mr. Woodring had asked that his statement not be published until after his resignation.

"I am an advocate of adequate defense," Secretary Woodring was quoted as saying, "but I will never stand for sending American boys into Europe's shambles. There is a comparatively small clique of international financiers who want the United States to declare war and get into the European mess with everything we have, including our manpower.

"I am not going to stand for it, and I am not going to resign until forced to do so.

"They don't like me because I'm against stripping our own defenses for the sake of trying to stop Hitler 3000 miles away. Eventually they will force me to resign, and I'll be darned glad to come back to Kansas, where the people have their feet on the ground and are not easily swayed by demagogues and subtle propaganda."

Perhaps this explains why President Roosevelt refused to make public Woodring's letter of resignation when the Secretary was forced out in favor of Col. Henry L. Stimson, reputed counsel for Kuhn-Loeb. The President, you will recall, declared the letter was "too personal" for publication.

It would not be surprising if this "too personal" letter contained the same charges attributed to Woodring by the *Topeka Capital*. Everyone in Washington, D. C., knew that Woodring was in bitter disagreement with the Administration over the Roosevelt handling of all aid to the Allies. Woodring believed the President was taking the path toward war. As Secretary of War, subservient to the Chief Executive, he could not block that path, but he was known to have asserted himself.

No doubt, his letter of resignation *was* "too personal"—and too revealing!

What Else Is Behind It?



—Cartoonist Ray in the Kansas City Star

ALL 50 CONTEST QUESTIONS NEXT WEEK

YOU STILL HAVE TIME TO ENTER AND WIN $2,500.00 IN CASH

QUESTIONS FOR THIS WEEK!

QUESTION No. 19

What Is the Real Purpose of England's War Against Germany?

1. Salvation of independence for the small nations of Europe.
2. Preservation of "democracy" in the Western World.
3. Preservation of a gold-based international money system.
4. Protection of the United States from Nazi aggression.
5. Preservation of the British Empire in the absolute status quo.
6. Preservation of Christianity in the world.
7. To cut Germany off from South American trade.
8. To aid the cause of Communism.

QUESTION No. 20

How Would You Describe the Government of England as of July 1, 1940?

1. Marxian Socialist.
2. Monarchical.
3. Democratic.
4. Fascist.
5. Republican.
6. Representative.

Fill in This Coupon and Mail with 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 19 For Question No. 19 I select Answer No. ☐ **No. 20** For Question No. 20 I select Answer No. ☐

*Name*_____

*Address*_____

*Town or City*_____ *State*_____

EXTRA COUPON FOR A FRIEND

Fill in This Coupon and Mail with 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 19 For Question No. 19 I select Answer No. ☐ **No. 20** For Question No. 20 I select Answer No. ☐

*Name*_____

*Address*_____

*Town or City*_____ *State*_____

Rules of the Contest

1. Open to all readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE, except employes of Father Coughlin or members of their families.

2. The contest shall consist of 50 questions and shall run for 11 consecutive weeks, beginning on Monday, May 13, 1940. Two questions each week for 10 weeks and all 50 questions to appear on the 11th week. Each question deals with the social justice principles laid down by Father Coughlin in his radio addresses or with the world's leading men at this time and the effects of their actions on current events.

3. A Grand Prize of $2,500.00 will be awarded the reader of SOCIAL JUSTICE returning the best or most appropriate answers to the 50 questions, such answers to be based on the viewpoint of Father Coughlin and SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine as expressed over the radio or in print. The reader or readers submitting the next nearest correct answers and otherwise complying with the rules shall be eligible for the additional prizes in the order of their correctness.

4. In order to qualify as a prize-winner, the contestant is required to send in 10 cents in coin (not stamps) with each pair of answers. For these remittances the contestant shall have sent without further cost two copies of Father Coughlin's radio lecture books.

5. We cannot take into account solutions without signed names or addresses. Neither can claims to their ownership be recognized. We cannot be responsible for coupons arriving unduly late or lost in the mails. By entering the contest the contestant agrees to accept as final the decision of the judges as to procedure and final outcome.

6. In event of ties additional questions will be submitted. In event of final ties, after two such submissions, duplicate prizes will be awarded.

7. Do NOT decorate coupons. Neatness will not count.

8. Any inquiry, the reply to which could not affect outcome of contest, will be acknowledged.

9. A contestant may send in any number of sets of duly qualified answers and each set will be judged as a unit, but no individual may win more than one prize. If submitting more than one set of answers, the contestant is required to keep them distinct by designating them "Set 1," "Set 2," "Set 3," etc. Each submitted set shall entitle the contestant to the two books specified in Rule 4.

ADDRESS ALL CONTEST MAIL TO

'CONTEST MANAGER' SOCIAL JUSTICE

ROYAL OAK, MICHIGAN

IN NEXT WEEK'S issue of SOCIAL JUSTICE the announcement of the closing date in our great *"MEN AND MONEY" Contest* will be made.

Also—in next week's issue—July 22—all 50 contest questions will appear.

What does this mean to you?

It means that you still have time to enter the competition and win the Grand Prize of $2,500.00.

It means you still have time to come in and romp off with the Second Prize of $1,200.00.

It means you still have time to step in and garner the Third Prize of $1,000.00.

Now, these handsome cash awards can mean nothing whatever to you unless you enter. AND NEXT WEEK BRINGS ANNOUNCEMENT OF THE FINAL HOUR FOR ENTERING.

So, be absolutely sure to get your hands on SOCIAL JUSTICE next week. Don't miss, or your chance to win $2,500.00, or one of the other large cash prizes, will go a-glimmering.

Remember—all 50 questions next week! If you are already a contestant, you will send in your answers to No. 19 and 20 (on this page) this week. Then next week you will send in your answers to the remaining 30 questions. You will be given ample time in which to answer.

If you are not already entered—and just to make sure—send in THIS WEEK the "Past Questions" Coupon at the bottom of this page.

Our Contest Manager will then see to it that a copy of next week's SOCIAL JUSTICE, containing all 50 questions, is mailed to you.

Do this right now—eh?

YOU CAN WIN $2,500.00 IN A FEW WEEKS

GRAND PRIZE	$2,500
Second Prize	1,200
Third Prize	1,000
Fourth Prize	400
Fifth Prize	300
Sixth Prize, 4 cash awards at $25	100
Seventh Prize, 100 cash awards at $10	1,000
TOTAL	$6,500

This Coupon Will Bring All Questions to You

"PAST QUESTIONS" COUPON

CONTEST MANAGER, SOCIAL JUSTICE,
Royal Oak, Michigan

I wish to enter the "MEN AND MONEY" Contest at once.

Please send me FREE all past questions and suggested answers which have appeared in the Contest so far.

*My Name Is*_____

*My Address Is*_____

*City or Town*_____ *State*_____

Victory Rally Held for Plot Defendants

NEARLY 3,000 persons were on hand last week for the Christian Front mass meeting in Prospect Hall, Brooklyn, N. Y., to commemorate Independence Day and to celebrate the verdict of acquittal which ended the long sedition trial.

Principal speaker was Judge Leo Healy, former Brooklyn magistrate, who was given a watch in recognition of his successful defense of ten of the alleged "plotters." Dr. Patrick Scanlan, editor of the *Brooklyn Tablet*, Rev. Edward Brophy of Queens, and John F. Cassidy, national director of the Christian Front, also addressed the gathering.

In addition to Mr. Cassidy, seven other former plot trial defendants —John Cook, John Graf, William Bushnell, John Viebrock, La Roy Keegan, Andrew Buckley and Francis Malone—sat on the speakers' platform and received a tumultuous ovation from the crowd. Although acquitted of conspiracy charges by a Federal jury, Mr. Malone and Mr. Buckley, it was revealed, have been refused reemployment by two New York department stores, where they had each worked more than five years, on the grounds that their employment would be "bad for business."

New Trial Date Set for September 4th

A few hours previous to the meeting, Federal Judge Robert A. Inch set September 4th as the tentative date for a retrial of five plot defendants over whom the jury had disagreed—a single juror having reportedly held out for conviction. Whether the charges against these defendants would be dismissed at that time was not made known.

Meanwhile, Judge Inch reduced the bail of William Gerald Bishop, who has been in jail since his arrest on January 13th, from $10,000 to $5,000 and that of Captain John T. Prout, Jr., from $7,500 to $5,000. The other three remaining defendants — William Bushnell, Macklin Boettger and John Viebrock — remained free under their previous bond.

Claus Gunther Ernecke, the defendant who committed suicide as a result of the vicious publicity given the sedition case, was remembered at the Christian Front rally by a poem read by John Cassidy.

Priest Urges Building Up Christian Front

First speaker at the Prospect Hall meeting, Father Brophy, made a vigorous appeal for Christian unity and declared that the *Bill of Rights* of the American *Constitution* applied to Christians as well as others. Warning of widespread anti-Christian influences in the press, radio and American economic and political life, the priest stated that "one of the most practical ways to combat these evils is to build up the Christian Front."

The address of Dr. Scanlon, Brooklyn's fearless Catholic editor, also contained a note of warning. "Those who attempted to railroad these boys to jail," he declared, "will not stop. They are now looking for other means and other methods."

John Cassidy pledged that the Christian Front would do all in its power "to see that those defendants not in the clear would get all the help that we got." He added that no one had any cause to fear aggression from his organization. "But," he concluded, "we have rights and we intend to protect those rights."

As was to be expected, Judge Healy's speech was the climax of the evening. "No anti-Semitic word has ever passed my lips," the distinguished attorney announced, "but because I defended these boys the *Jewish Examiner*, a Brooklyn publication, has called me the biggest anti-Semite in America."

Judge Healy then produced a letter he had just received from Rabbi Louis Gross in which the latter had warned him that he was going to "lose a lot of business" if he appeared at the Christian Front rally.

"I spoke for Rabbi Gross just a year ago tonight at a Fourth of July celebration held by B'nai B'rith," Mr. Healy said. "Evidently this gentleman thinks it all right when I make a patriotic address before that organization. But he criticizes me when I do the same thing for a Christian group."

Judge Healy concluded: ***"It's about time that we Christians stop apologizing for our Americanism and patriotism."***

And the People Really Believed It—Then, and Now

An article in the *New York Enquirer*, reprinted in the *Congressional Record* at the request of Congressman Thorkelson of Montana, quotes Hilaire Belloc:

"The most comic part of the affair was the attitude toward America. We dared not insult America, for we were naturally as keen on getting American help as is a drowning man on catching a deck chair.

"In their ignorance many people came to believe that it was the duty of the Americans to come over and help, and, what was more astonishing still, it was represented to them as a matter of life and death, not to us, but to themselves. The Americans were told (Heaven knows whether any of them believed it!) that if the Germans, Austrians, Bohemians, Slovenes, Croats, Bulgarians, Turks, etc., won in their push against the English, French and Italians, that if the half-baked won against the baked, the next thing would be a sailing of the conquerors over the sea for the rude domination of Scranton, Pa. Fiddlesticks-ends!

"But people did really talk like that. They shook their fingers at the United States and said: 'It will be your turn next!'"

British Statements

(Continued from Page 12)

I was referring to his invasion of Norway. The speech in which that remark occurred was made April 5th—three days before the German invasion of Norway . . . Let us then, before fresh trials come upon us, put all of our strength into the work of preparing for it. We shall steadily increase our strength if we ourselves are able to deliver our blows when and where we will."

May 11, 1940—Chamberlain's Farewell Broadcast upon Resigning:

". . . *The hour will come when we are to be put to the test, as the innocent people of Belgium and Holland and France are being tested already.* And you and I must rally behind our new leader (Churchill) and with our united strength and with unshakeable courage fight and work until this wild beast that has sprung out of his lair upon us be finally disarmed and overthrown."

May 20, 1940—Churchill's first Broadcast as Prime Minister:

". . . We may look with confidence to the stabilization of the front in France and to the general engagement of the Nazis, which will enable the qualities of the French and British soldiers to be matched squarely against those of their adversaries . . . I have received from the chiefs of the French Republic, and in particular from the indomitable Prime Minister, M. Reynaud, the most sacred pledges, that whatever happens, they will fight to the end, be it bitter or be it glorious. Nay, if we fight to the end, it can only be glorious."

Big Peace Rally at Newark, N. J.

THE New Jersey Committee for Peace and Preparedness, of which the Rev. Matthew J. Toohey, New Jersey State Chaplain of the Catholic War Veterans, is chairman, is planning a monster peace and preparedness rally on Friday, July 12th. The affair will be held at the Mosque theater, 1020 Broad street, Newark, at 8:30 p.m.

The speakers are to be Rev. Edward Lodge Curran, president International Catholic Truth Society; Hon. John A. Matthews, advisory master, Chancery Court of New Jersey; and the Hon. Fred A. Hartley, Jr., Representative 10th Congressional district of New Jersey.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is glad to cooperate with local groups whenever national publicity is of help to their cause, but much too often announcements reach the editorial offices too late to be printed before the event.

Tour Dates at the Shrine

FOR THE information of thousands of readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine and their friends who annually make these tours we have listed the sponsors of each tour under the date and city where the tour is to begin. Those interested in making one of the following tours should contact their tour sponsor as soon as possible because of the limited number of reservations available.

Sunday, July 21—St. Louis, Missouri and Southern Illinois

Mr. Joseph B. DeMoor, 2739 Gravois Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri. Prospect 3700. $12.51 round trip includes air conditioned Wabash chairs, lunch and dinner in Detroit and bus transportation. Train leaves St. Louis Union Station, Saturday, July 20, 6:15 p.m., arrives back in St. Louis, Monday, July 22, 8 a.m.

* * *

Saturday and Sunday, July 27 and 28—Chicago, Illinois

Mr. John Donovan, 7451 Luella Avenue, Chicago. Sag. 1824 or Mr. Edward Rogers, 422 N. Leamington Avenue, Chicago. Man. 3590. $10 round trip by bus, includes five meals and fine hotel accommodations.

* * *

Sunday, July 28 only—Cleveland, Ohio

Mr. William F. Chambers, 4420 Rocky River Dr. Clearwater 6566; Mr. Patrick Barrett, 3415 W. 97th Street, Melrose 7188; and Mr. John M. Pressler 3450 W. 50th Street, Melrose 7326; all in Cleveland.

* * *

Sunday, August 4—Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Mrs. Anna M. Nardina, 3927 Howley Street, Pittsburgh. Schenley 4199. $10 round trip. Leave Pittsburgh Saturday, August 3, 11:05 p.m., E.S.T.

* * *

Sunday, August 18—Rochester, New York

Mr. Urban G. Kress, Social Justice Club of Rochester, 63 Willite Avenue, Rochester, New York.

* * *

Saturday, Sunday and Monday, August 31, September 1-2. Second tour from Philadelphia

Mr. James Furey, Jr., 2800 Grays Ferry Avenue, Philadelphia, Pa., Ful. 3811. $14 for round trip, and children between the ages of 5 and 12, $8 round trip.

* * *

Monday, September 2 only (Labor Day)—Jersey City and Newark, New Jersey

Mr. John C. Corbley, 38 Columbia Avenue, Newark, N. J. Essex 2-0314. $19.25 round trip includes a scenic rail-water trip and visit to Niagara Falls on the side. Also a 3-day economy tour, all expenses, $32.50.

TRUTH NEEDS TELLERS!

HOISTING a beacon of Truth in America today is as hazardous as searching out a gas leak with a lighted match.

The people are so poisoned with propaganda that a Truth-ray can hardly penetrate the vast cerebral darkness.

The expressions, "fifth column," "democracy" and "short of war" are being tossed about and nobody can give a clear-cut definition of any one of them.

The United States is gradually entering the international doghouse, because its leaders are all "bark" and very little "bite."

Our foreign markets are fading away. Our unemployment maintains its *status quo*. Our currency will soon be spurned throughout the world because it is backed by nothing but debt.

We are inviting unpopularity in a post-war world:

A victorious Germany will hate us for our meddling. A vanquished Britain will despise us for our non-intervention. A Nazi-ized South America will laugh at our "good neighbor" pretensions. Soviet Russia will snicker at our half-hearted attempts at Communism.

America will be alone with itself and its god—gold!

SOCIAL JUSTICE is daring to tell the Truth to America.

In addition to the loyal army of present sellers, SOCIAL JUSTICE needs 5,000 additional distributors throughout the country—to meet public demand for this magazine.

We want men and women, boys and girls, to sell the magazine on Thursday, Friday, Saturday and Sunday of each week. WE WANT THEM NOW.

You can make money selling SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine. Write for particulars and see.

And Here Is Our Remarkable Free Trial Offer

We can prove to you that there is a mighty, nationwide, ever-growing demand for SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine.

We shall send you two weekly bundles of magazines — any quantity up to 25 — ABSOLUTELY FREE. You sell them at 10 cents per copy. You keep all the money.

Then, when you KNOW you can earn a nice income, we want you to pledge yourself to become a regular distributor.

That's fair, isn't it?

All right. Fill in and return IMMEDIATELY the Order Blank on this page. It contains all the terms of our proposition. Do this right now. Within a few days you will receive your first bundle — FREE, except for the small Express service charge of about 20 cents.

As a distributor, you will be selling the most daring truth-telling publication in the United States today. And you will aid in keeping the United States out of foreign war.

Use the Order Blank now!

ORDER BLANK

Circulation Manager, SOCIAL JUSTICE
Royal Oak, Michigan:

Please send me at once ABSOLUTELY FREE two consecutive weekly bundles of .....copies of SOCIAL JUSTICE. (Any number up to 25.)
(each bundle)

I understand I am to sell them and keep all sales proceeds.

In the event I am satisfied with my sales and feel that I can earn money as a steady distributor, I shall order future bundles according to the terms enjoyed by all regular distributors. I understand there is no obligation upon me to do this.

My Name Is.....

My Address Is.....

City or Town..... *State*.....

This offer is made only to persons not now selling or distributing SOCIAL JUSTICE

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Mrs. Wendell Willkie

The Wife of a Champion

THIS COUNTRY would seem to be due for a "new deal" in First Ladies, if fortunes of the forthcoming election should land the Republicans in the White House.

"Mr. Willkie is the one to give the interviews: his answers will be more interesting than mine."

Thus, proudly and firmly, the wife of the Republican nominee refused to be stampeded by the press writers and cameramen.

Asked about her possible role as First Lady, the gracious hostess of No. 1010 Fifth Avenue, New York City, answered reporters:

"I can only be myself; I couldn't be a 'second' of any type. My only plan is to do the best I can under the circumstances; and I hope I measure up."

Of politics she said:

"Of course I'm interested in politics; I'm from Indiana, aren't I?"

Thus, in her naturally gracious manner, the unknown Mrs. Willkie was taken to the heart of the nation's newspaper-readers.

She is, they agreed, a proper wife of a successful big business man who says the Government of the United States is a big business and ought to be run like one. He also said, we remember, that he hoped Mr. Roosevelt would be the Democratic candidate, because, "I want to meet the champ!"

And that is the composite role that these newspaper stories have built for Mrs. Willkie—the wife of a champion.

Do Not Miss SOCIAL JUSTICE'S Announcement About the Great 'Men and Money' Contest